

"Perhaps the foremost Western interpreter  
of Eastern thought for the modern world."

— NEW YORK TIMES

IN MY  
OWN  
WAY

an autobiography 

Alan Watts

# IN MY OWN WAY

an autobiography  
1915–1965

道

Alan Watts



New World Library  
Novato, California



New World Library  
14 Pamaron Way  
Novato, California 94949

Copyright © 1972 by Alan Watts  
Originally published by Pantheon Books, 1972; Vintage Books edition, 1973

All rights reserved. This book may not be reproduced in whole or in part, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, or other—without written permission from the publisher, except by a reviewer, who may quote brief passages in a review.

Grateful acknowledgment is made to the following:  
James Broughton and The Jargon Society, for permission to use excerpts from *A Long Undressing: Collected Poems 1949–1969*. Copyright © 1971 by James Broughton.

Elsa Gidlow, for permission to use “Let the Wild Swan Singing Go”; and for an excerpt from “Forgive Us,” from *Moods of Eros* (Druid Heights Press).

Text design and typography by Tona Pearce Myers

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data  
Watts, Alan, 1915–1973.

In my own way : an autobiography, 1915–1965 / Alan Watts. — 2nd ed.  
p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN-13: 978-1-57731-584-1 (pbk. : alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 1-57731-584-7 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. Watts, Alan, 1915–1973. 2. Zen Buddhists—United States—Biography. 3. Philosophers—United States—Biography. 4. Zen Buddhists—England—Biography. 5. Philosophers—England—Biography. I. Title.

BQ995.T8A3 2007

294.3'927092—dc22

2007006128

First New World Library printing, June 2007

ISBN-10: 1-57731-584-7

ISBN-13: 978-1-57731-584-1

Printed in Canada on acid-free, partially recycled paper



New World Library is a proud member of the Green Press Initiative.

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

## THE STONED WOOD

**T**opophilia is a word invented by the British poet John Betjeman for a special love for peculiar places. It sounds almost like a disease or a perversion, but it comes close to the Japanese *aware*, which signifies a sophisticated nostalgia. One may love special places either for their beauty, or for their fascinating ugliness, or for their inability to be described. In the first class put the Swiss-Italian lake district and Big Sur, California; in the second put residential North London, Philadelphia, or Baltimore; in the third put Chislehurst, which means a stoned or stony (or even astonished) wood. It is an area on a well-forested and flat-topped hill to the southeast of London, its soil abounding in round and grey-surfaced stones, some of which contain pockets of crystals, and some which, when broken, reveal an image of dark blue sky, dense with clouds. Large sections of this area are commons or public parks, wild and left generally to themselves. In the interstices lie palatial mansions, affluent suburban residences, three small shopping areas, seven churches, seven amiable pubs, and two respectable slums.

Even today it hasn't been too objectionably improved. Indeed, many of the mansions are now schools, offices, or service-flats, and a number of boxy, red-brick, quiet-desperation homes have filled up the old rural lanes. But the Royal Parade, the one-block-long principal shopping center, is almost exactly what it was fifty years ago. I was back there in June 1970 to celebrate my father's ninetieth birthday at the Tiger's Head pub on the village green, across from the ancient parish church of Saint Nicholas—and incidentally, it isn't so widely known that English pubs (as distinct from restaurants) can provide magnificent feasts. But there was the village center in its original

order, though ownerships had changed. Close to the prosperous-looking Bull Inn are Miss Rabbit's original sweet shop, and opposite, the incredible Miss Battle is still running the bakery. I can't account for her; she is a young woman about seventy years old. Mr. Walters (Junior) is still running the book, stationery, and greeting-card shop, though Mr. Coffin's excellent grocery has been taken over by a chain—and yet the goods and the service are unchanged. It smells as it always did of flavors of fresh coffee, smoked meats, and Stilton cheese, and is run with a dignity and courtesy which make it, for me, the archetypal grocery designed in heaven.

Mr. Francis's tobacco and barber shop is still there, though he has long joined his ancestors. It was here that I had my one and only personal encounter with that vivacious and ancient priest, Canon Dawson, rector of Saint Nicholas who, as a very High Church Anglo-Catholic, sported a fried-egg hat and cassock around the village. (All I can remember of his sermons is a series of enthusiastic coughs, but everyone loved him, and he lived in a magnificent and mysterious Queen Anne house—The Rectory—kitty-corner from the church, next to the Tiger's Head, and backed by a row of stately trees beyond which lay the acres of Colonel Edelman's spacious farms and crow-cawing pines.) The Rector was in a hurry and very affably asked me to yield place in line for a haircut. Naturally, I was delighted; he, the dignitary, the parson or person of the domain had treated a nine-year-old boy as a human being. I hadn't long to wait, for he was almost bald.

But vanished now is the drapery and dress shop run by the Misses Scriven, two elderly spinsters with put-up hair, bulging, with bun on top, and thoroughly clothed from neck to ankles with long-sleeved frilly blouses and tweedy skirts, granny-style spectacles with thin gold rims insulting their faces. Fashionable young ladies of today should note (since granny spectacles are back in vogue), that constructions of wire upon the face, especially upon ladies with angular figures, have about as much sex appeal as bicycles. To make things worse, the Misses Scriven displayed their frocks and dresses upon "dummies," which were headless, armless, and legless mock-ups of female torsos, having lathe-turned erections of dark wood in place of heads. These hideous objects gave me repeated nightmares until I was at least six years old, for in the midst of an otherwise interesting dream there would

suddenly appear a calico-covered dummy, formidably breasted (without cleft) and sinisterly headless. This thing would mutter at me and suggest ineffable terrors, but thereafter it would rumble, and I would have the sensation of dropping through darkness to find myself relieved and awake.

And then, just to the north, was the pharmacy of Messrs. Prebble and Bone, its windows adorned with immense tear-shaped bottles of brightly colored liquids, for ornament and not for sale, where medications were sold in an aromatic atmosphere which has prompted the riddle “What smells most in a chemist’s shop?” Answer: “Your nose.” Whereas Mr. Coffin’s grocery was low and on the level, Mr. Prebble and Mr. Bone’s establishment was lofty and looked down at you, and their mysterious bottles with occult labels were stored in high, glass-doored cupboards. They supplied Dr. Tallent’s utterly illegible prescriptions in bottles and boxes with formal and punctilious labels entitled “The Mixture,” “The Ointment,” or “The Pills,” followed with such paradoxical instructions as “Take one pill three times a day,” which reminds me of a notice once posted on the buses of Sacramento, California: “Please let those getting off first.”

Dr. Tallent also lived on the Royal Parade, at Walton Lodge, a pleasant begardened house oddly sequestered in the very middle of the row of shops. He was a confident, kindly, and clean-smelling man who officiated at my birth, upon whose brand-new suit I pissed at my circumcision, and from whom, as soon as I was articulate, I demanded a two-shilling fee (which he paid) for some unpleasant medical attention. His wife was, necessarily, a talented woman—a singer and actress resembling Mary Pickford—and I was secretly in love with his tall brunette daughter Jane without knowing how to do anything about it. She seemed to be on a higher rung in the social ladder, and thus went with the boys who played tennis and cricket (the ultimately boring game), and indulged in the lugubrious dangle-armed dancing of the 1920s. And then I was vehemently in love with a blond kindergarten mate named Kitty, who lived in one of the pretentious mansions near the Rectory, so much so that I got up the courage to propose marriage to her and was so flatly rejected that I didn’t have the nerve to make amorous approaches to women until I was nineteen.

I have been told, in later years, that I look like a mixture of King George VI

and Rex Harrison, but *then* the boys told me I was a cross-eyed and buck-toothed weakling to whom no girl would ever possibly be attracted. But when I search through the records, like *Who's Who* and even the Greater London Telephone Directory, all those handsome and self-confident sportsmen, scholars, and snobs who were my successful peers in school seem to have vanished. It is unbelievable and awesome that I find no trace of the heroes of my childhood, save one or two whom the system rejected, like poet, travelogist, and (during World War II) Brigadier General Patrick Leigh Fermor, who was expelled from King's School, Canterbury, for taking a walk with the local greengrocer's daughter.

But back to Chislehurst. Rowan Tree Cottage, our home, is one block east of the Royal Parade, and takes its name from a mountain ash or rowan tree which grew in the front garden behind a hedge of sweetbrier and beside an arbor of jasmine and a magnificent tree of green cooking-apples upon which we used to hang coconuts, sliced open for the delectation of wrens and blue tits. The house is a semidetached cottage, that is, a mirror-image structure, one half occupied by ourselves and the other by an astonishingly ugly, garrulous, holy, and warmhearted lady known as Miss Gussy (Augusta Pearce—and may she rest in peace, fanned by the jeweled wings of her Anglo-Catholic angels.) Also let it be said, in passing, that most of the people mentioned in this book are to be recognized as my gurus—people from whom I have learned all that I value—and in this respect Miss Gussy had an important role.

Behind the house my parents had acquired an acre of land which adjoined the playing fields of Farrington's (Wesleyan) school for girls and gave access to the immense fields and forests of Scadbury Manor, where the lords of Chislehurst had lived from at least the thirteenth century. Just at the boundary between our land and Farrington's was a colossal sycamore tree exactly ninety feet high, where the sun rose, and where, in the late afternoon, my mother and I watched glistening pigeons against black storm-clouds. That was the axletree of the world, Yggdrasil, blessing and sheltering the successive orchards, vegetable gardens and (once) a rabbit farm which my father cultivated in time of economic distress. There was also a time when he let the back part of the garden go fallow, with grasses, sorrel, and flowering

weeds so well above my head that I could get lost in this sunny herbaceous forest with butterflies floating above. I was so happy in this miniature jungle that I still don't understand why those who have neither time nor skill for real gardening shouldn't just let their land go its own way, rather than insisting on lawn order, whereby grass is forced to imitate a billiard table.

We are compulsively and drearily tidy, and frantically flatten, rectangularize and uniformize the chthonic world into Euclidian patterns, which are wholly bereft of imagination and exuberance. Shouldn't we beg pardon for millions of square miles of cropped, unflowering grass? This was the magic of the Chislehurst commons—that all those acres were simply left alone, save for the attentions of jovial Mr. Cox, the Common-Keeper, who went about with a burlap sack and a spiked stick to pick up human trash—mostly paper. There were open acres covered with curly-ferned bracken and dotted with prickly, yellow-flowered gorse bushes. There were dark dense clusters of rhododendrons, dank mysterious pools under enormous oaks and cedars, sweet, sandy, and sunny groves of pines, and, at the bottom of Pett's Wood—just across the railway tracks—an almost tropical swamp, where the stream Marchristal (named after Margaret, Christine, and Alan, who explored it from end to end) flowed into a larger stream which ran eventually into the Medway River, through Maidstone, and into the Thames at Sheerness.

Margaret and Christine, incidentally, were sisters—two adorably feminine tomboys whom I hadn't the nerve to relate to except on the boy level of adventure and mild naughtiness, such as initiating Christine into smoking cigarettes under a bush on the commons. However, we followed that tiny stream through two miles of thickets, mostly young hazels, elm, and ash, over ground twinkling with wild primroses and celandine and blossoming (if that is the word) with pagodalike layers of tree fungus, with toadstools, and with that formidable red-topped, white-flecked mushroom *Amanita muscaria*—of whose properties we knew nothing except “Don't.”

The Marchristal descended across a belt of pines, through a culvert under the tracks, and out into this vividly alive swampy area where flowering weeds grew far above our heads—white umbelliferae, yellow ragweed, something with small but imperially purple flowers, nettles, wild roses, honeysuckle, common bugle, foxgloves, wild poppies, vast thistles,



blackberry bushes, and high barleylike grasses—all of which was bewhizzed by kingfisher-blue dragonflies, by bumblebees and tiny pseudowasps called hover-flies, and befluttered by fritillaries, red admirals, swallowtails, painted ladies, tortoise-shells, orange-tips, small coppers, Camberwell beauties, graylings, common whites, commas, peacocks, clouded yellows, marble whites, chalkhill blues, and even an occasional purple emperor.

Yes, I am showing off my knowledge of folk entomology. My father was an amateur entomologist, and his guru in this pursuit was an extremely small, affable, and intelligent *bon vivant* named Samuel Blyth—a well-to-do solicitor, stockholder in the Bank of England, and confirmed bachelor, who lived with his splendidly witty mother and two devoted serving-maids in a Churriguesque house just south of the Royal Parade. Samuel Blyth was one of Canon Dawson's loyal henchmen, a devout and even militant High Churchman who hardly ever discussed religion. His ancestors had sailed with the Royal Navy, and his house was ablaze with the folk art of Africa, India, and Indochina—inlaid tables, silver canisters, an immense basket from Lagos, and all sorts of lacquered and marquetry boxes with images, in brilliant color, of Shiva, Krishna, Parvati, and Radha dancing it up amid stylized frameworks of creepers and vines. Furthermore, he presided at stately dinner parties to which a select company gathered in formal dress, where the cuisine—served by Annie I and Annie II—was English cooking at its best, accompanied by the best wines of Bordeaux, for which he had a special liking. Next to my mother, he may be considered my true teacher in the arts of the table, although now—in California—we attend formal dinners in outrageously imaginative costumes instead of black, silk-lapelled suits with boiled shirts and black bow ties.

Sam's mother was just the sort of woman I hope my own wife will become when she is eighty. She was somewhat stout, wore a black velvet choker, and carried a silver-topped ebony walking stick; a lady of wonderful presence and dignity who was, nevertheless, given to chuckling. Sam, also, had this particular and important grace for appreciating and giggling about nonsense—important, because I am not fully at ease with those who lack it. At a very early age I was presented with a handsome edition of the nonsense limericks of Edward Lear by the angular, (apparently) acid, and

bearded Mr. Chettle who, as headmaster of the school sponsored by the Worshipful Company of Stationers, had been the most respected of my father's teachers. He, then, was responsible for initiating me into a taste for such profound ridiculousities as

There was an Old Man of Vienna,  
Who lived upon Tincture of Senna.  
    When that did not agree,  
    He took Camomile Tea;  
That nasty Old Man of Vienna.

So, I told Sam Blyth and his mother about an insane cartoon-film I had seen called *The Worm That Turned* in which an officiously persecuted worm, ordinarily slow and limp in action, became galvanized with energy after drinking from a bottle labeled "Encouragement," and with electric convulsions banged all his persecutors to bits. Thereafter, on our return from night-time entomological expeditions, I was invariably given a Bottle of Encouragement which, as then appropriate for a small boy, was that most pleasant concoction—English ginger beer from a stone bottle. I am drinking some at the moment of writing, and though it comes from a nasty and ecologically pestiferous no-return bottle which says, with incongruous pomposity, "bottled under authority of Schweppes (U.S.A.) Ltd., Stamford, Conn., 06905, from essence imported from Schweppes, London, England," it is very good; though not quite the same thing as served by Samuel Blyth from a stone bottle or bought, homemade, from a rose-engarlanded cottage on an ancient Roman road just south of Canterbury.

Those entomological expeditions after dark were usually conducted in a long and ancient grove of trees on the west side of Pett's Wood, bordered by Colonel Edelman's open fields with their clusters, or spinneys, of pines. Sam and my father would paint the trees with a thin, one-foot strip of molasses mixed with essence of pear. Then, at the bottom of the grove, they would wait and light up their pipes (Sam, who was a perky little man, had the most enormous Dunhill I have ever seen), whereafter we would return with our flashlights examining the various moths which had visited our treacherous traps. My father usually called them by their folk names, such as the Silver

Y, from the silvery Y-shaped mark on its upper wing, but Sam, as the guru, used the Graeco-Latin scientific names—in this case *gamma*—always pronounced in the flagrantly British distortions of Mediterranean languages. Thus the very rare and prized oleander hawk moth, which Sam once netted ecstatically over his flowering tobacco plants, is known to the science as *nerii*. He pronounced it “neary-eye.” It is a gorgeous mottled green, almost bird-like moth which occasionally reaches the southern shores of England from Africa.

We would carefully identify the various specimens on the treacle, then proceed to capture those not already in our collections by inveigling them into glass-topped pillboxes, and thereafter spificate them in glass jars, or “killing-bottles,” containing cyanide under a coating of plaster of Paris. Pounded laurel leaves would do just as well.

From all this I learned a love of moths, but I no longer catch, kill, and set them in cabinet drawers under glass. I have found that by talking gently to moths, even calling “Here kitty-kitty-kitty!” I can get them to alight on my hand, where I can inspect them alive and at leisure. I have even persuaded a huge Polyphemus to stay on my head for half an hour. By this means, if you are inclined to the scientific study of moths or butterflies, you can simply photograph them in color instead of killing them—and the sport of making friends with them is far more challenging than going out on the hunt. This applies equally to birds, deer, fish, and bears. I will no longer hunt for any creature which I do not seriously need to eat, although, for reasons which may be—as they say—Freudian, I have constantly practiced the arts of archery and riflery. In an autobiography one must surely be allowed to boast, just for fun. I have, at a range of twenty feet, shot the tobacco out of a cigarette and left the paper intact. At a range of thirty feet, I have split a target, edge towards me, with an air pistol. I am also the world’s champion in a game called “You Are the Target,” in which anyone better than I would be dead. The game is to shoot an arrow straight up and see how near to you it can be allowed to land. You have to watch its fall very carefully, but I have had it hit the ground exactly between my feet. Of course, there were no witnesses. Had there been, they would forcefully have discouraged the experiment. I was using a fifty-five-pound bow.

My father, although an admirably peaceful man, carefully instructed me in these arts. He made bows for me and taught me how to use his .22 carbine, as well as how to sling arrows with a string. Nature preserved him from the colossal folly of World War I by giving him a carbuncle on the neck, though he did weekly drill with the territorial troops on the local cricket ground with an Enfield rifle—a ritual operation, like the Officers' Training Corps fantasies with which I was later involved in school, which had absolutely nothing to do with the bloody realities of fighting. Drummers and buglers being in attendance, I called the affair "Daddy's Band." (This was 1917.) At that time I was absolutely delighted with sporadic German air raids, which provided me with an excuse for being taken out of bed in the middle of the night and down to the dining room, there to drink cocoa and wave a small Union Jack flag. The nearest hit was plunk in the middle of the village green, which shook everything up but killed no one, and the crater is there to this day, as a sort of memorial. The village was protected by an antiaircraft gun affectionately known as Archibald. I am told that, as I was being wheeled beside this gun in my perambulator, two soldiers came by with a basket of laundry and I convulsed them by saying, "Here come Archibald's nappies."

This is perhaps the place to say that I regard human wars as I regard storms and earthquakes—as totally irrational disasters, or forms of collective madness, which I will do my best to avoid under almost all circumstances, even though I am now living on top of the San Andreas Fault. The mere robber can take what he wants; however, I would probably give violent resistance to anyone attempting physical injury upon myself or my loved ones—although there would always be an element of judo in the tactics employed. But collective banding together for offense or defense is, as is now so obvious, a no-win game. Modern warfare is planetary destruction, a luxury we cannot afford. All offenders proclaim and even believe that they are defending themselves, since it is now becoming increasingly impossible to wage war for the good old-fashioned motive of capturing the territory and the women of other tribes. Nor do we need their men as slaves. We have machinery instead. To take sides in a modern, technological war is to take sides in a lunatic asylum—as between believers, and really ardent believers, in Dottlebonk and Geflugg, both out to prove their manhoodlumism. Call me

a physical coward, a sissy, a nervous Nellie, a traitor, a deserter, a chicken, a worm, a slug, a salamander, or anything you like, but I have always used my ingenuity to stay out of these ridiculous uproars. I would rather exercise my manhood in bed with the ladies, though those who do not approve of such delectable imploits say that I am out to “prove” my masculinity, whereas I am simply and innocently enjoying life and *im*proving my masculinity. It is so strange that everyone infected by psychoanalysis regards every form of exuberance as a neurotic excess, and classifies mere happiness under the clinical and diagnostic term “euphoria.”

But to go back to Chislehurst. My father and mother brought me up in a garden flutant with the song of birds, especially at dawn and twilight. They decided, however, that I should be educated as a Brahmin, an intellectual, directed towards the priestly, legal, or literary professions. As soon as I was exposed to the ideals of these disciplines, which were studious and bookish, I lost interest and energy for the work of the garden, though I remained enchanted with the flowers and fruits of other people’s work, so much so that in my old age I shall probably return to the craft of the garden, but of a very small garden, consisting mainly of culinary, medicinal, and psychedelic herbs, with nasturtiums, roses, and sweet peas around the edges. Alongside there will be a large redwood barn where the various herbs will hang from the beams to dry, where there will be shelves of mysterious jars containing cardamom, ginseng, ginger, marjoram, oregano, mint, thyme, pennyroyal, cannabis, henbane, mandrake, comfrey mugwort, and witch hazel, and where there will also be a combination of alchemist’s laboratory and kitchen. I can smell it coming.

The garden at home was what is now called “organic,” and, in my mind, I can still taste its peas, potatoes, scarlet-runner beans, and pippin apples which my father stored on wooden racks to last through the winter. (Having made recent tours of inspection, I can report that vegetables from this neighborhood are as good as ever.) But the advantage of being a small child is that you can see vegetables better than adults. You don’t have to stoop down to them, and you can thus get lost in a forest of tomatoes, raspberries, and beans on sticks—and from this standpoint and attitude vegetables have nothing to do with the things served on plates in restaurants. They are glowing,

luscious jewels, embodiments of emerald or amber or carnelian light, and are usually best when eaten raw and straight off the plant when you are alone, when no one can see you doing it, and when the whole affair is somewhat surreptitious. This is, of course, what happened to Adam and Eve in the Garden of Eden, and perhaps it was an unripe apple that made Eve ill. It is not usually understood that she was a little girl and Adam a little boy, because they are always portrayed as mature adults, but they were obviously a couple of kids scrounging around Big Daddy's garden. Having thoroughly satisfied themselves on gooseberries, raw peas, and green apples, they hid between the tomato plants and began to examine each other's private parts. But just then Big Daddy came along and said, "God damn it, get the hell out of here, you little bastards!"<sup>1</sup>

One of the major taboos of our culture is against realizing that vegetables are intelligent—an insight which I owe to an inspired eccentric named Thaddeus Ashby, who haunts and dismays the general area of Southern California, but who is an undoubted genius. Not so long ago he arrived at my door in the rig of a British field marshal, Montgomery-style, except that the golden badge on his beret proved, on close inspection, to be a Buddhist emblem. He explained that he was a true *field* marshal, representing the interests of the vegetable kingdom, and gave me a long discourse on the intellectuality, cunning, and compassion of the whole world of plants. This was in line with my own suspicion that every living and sentient being considers itself human—that is, as being at the center of the universe and as having attained the height of culture. He went into the amazingly beautiful and varied methods whereby plants disperse their seeds, and pointed out that fruit is sweet or tangy because the plant *wants* it to be eaten, so that seeds will be distributed through the alimentary canals of bugs, birds, or people. He further exemplified our dim view of the plant world by the fact that we call decrepit people "mere vegetables," and deplore homosexuals by calling them "fruits." He pointed out that, as distinct from mammals, birds, reptiles,

---

<sup>1</sup> The vulgar language is, as always, soundly grounded in theology. In the Catholic and Christian scheme of things we are sons of God by adoption and grace, not by nature, since God has only one Son, rendering the rest of us bastards essentially damned and in hell.

and fish, the brain and the sexual organs of plants are in the same place, and that they do not therefore have the problems over which Freud puzzled, namely the conflict between the pleasure principle and the reality principle. He further suggested that the botanical world was so concerned about human misuse of the biosphere that it had decided to turn us on, psychedelically, so that we would come to our senses, or, if that wouldn't work, to turn us off by making itself increasingly poisonous.

Now it is the papal infallibility and orthodox dogma of the present scientific establishment that plants are mechanisms without intelligence, and that they have neither feeling nor capacity for purposeful action. A little child hasn't been told this, and therefore knows better. I knew that plants, moths, birds, and rabbits were *people*—as is exemplified in such tales as *The Wind in the Willows*, *Winnie the Pooh*, and innumerable folk tales from all cultures. Anthropologists and historians of religion dismiss this as animism, the most primitive, superstitious, and depraved of all those systems and beliefs which, in the course of historical progress, eventually blossom into Christianity or dialectical materialism. It is thus that our entire civilization has no respect for plants or for animals other than pets—the flattering dog, the wily cat, the obedient horse, and the mimicking parrot. It is high time to go back, or on, to animism and to cultivate good manners toward all sentient beings, including vegetables, and even lakes and mountains.

In that garden I learned to talk with birds, to whistle all their calls, and, in winter especially, to feed them by hand on the window sill. As Henry Miller has pointed out, the birds of America (excepting perhaps the cardinal and the mockingbird) do not really sing. They squawk, chatter, screech, croak, cluck, and hoot—and he attributes this to our terrible bread and to “the tasteless products of our worn-out soil.” We have nothing like a nightingale or skylark, although some years ago the California mockingbirds picked up the first half of the nightingale's song from listening to the record of Respighi's *Birds* being played on suburban patios. In Santa Barbara I whistled this melody back and forth with a mockingbird for about half an hour, and taught him some new variations. But at dawn in Chislehurst or, for that matter, any country place in Western Europe, the birds come on like a choir of angels in praise of the sun, and I used to lie in bed feeling my spirits

raised and raised by this bird-symphony of total delight in life. At sunset a solitary thrush would perch at the very top of the rowan tree and go into a solo to which I would rather listen than to even Tebaldi or Sutherland.

May I go into the topography and geography of my home, for it still fascinates me. It was (and is) a semidetached cottage of solid brick, facing west, having two stories and a mysterious attic which I hardly ever visited. There were two rooms on the front ground floor—to the north, an ample dining-room-cum-kitchen with a large black iron stove and oven in a niche under the chimney; to the south—interrupted by the front door, vestibule, and staircase—was the drawing room, used only on special occasions, but to me a place of magic and fascination. For it contained all my mother's Oriental treasures: a round brass mandala-designed coffee table from India, shining with intricate floral patterns; two large Chinese vases on either side of the fireplace (I would guess from the Ch'ien-lung period) showing mandarins in their courts and warriors on the battlefield, and containing raffia fans from Samoa; over the mantelpiece a *turista* Japanese woven picture of a teahouse jutting out over a lake, seen at moonlight; on the mantelpiece, a fine celadon vase from Korea and a tiny wooden coffin from China containing the "corpse" of the aristocratic deceased; on the sofa, two richly embroidered Japanese cushions depicting falcons on flowering trees; an upright piano at which my mother played and my father used to sing arias from Gilbert and Sullivan—and such amazing Edwardian sentimentalities as "Dumbledum Deary" and "I'll Sing Thee Songs of Araby. . . 'til rainbow visions rise, and all my songs shall strive to wake sweet wonders in thine eyes."

It was in this room, with its flavor of Oriental magic, that my father, in his perfectly unostentatious King's English accent, read to me the tales and poems of that much maligned and misunderstood author, Rudyard Kipling—the *Just So Stories*, *The Jungle Books*, and *Puck of Pook's Hill*. Today, Kipling is largely regarded as an imperialist and jingoist whose writings represented British colonialism at its most aggressive peak. Yes and no. Kipling was one of the major channels through which the high culture of India and the Himalayas flowed back into the west, and persuaded me, for example, through such books as *Kim*, to have more sympathy for Buddhism than Christianity. Kipling was not a Max Müller or an Arthur Waley on the level



of fine Oriental scholarship, but he spoke in a subtle and roundabout way to emotions in the solar plexus, the *manipura chakra*, and thus enchanted a small boy with curious, exotic, and far-off marvels that were simply not to be found in the muscular Christianity of the (Low Church) Church of England or in the boiled-beef-and-carrots English middle-class way of life.

It is important that this magical room was on the southwest of the house, although its ornaments were Oriental, for it was here that I acquired an interior compass which led me to the East through the West. I suppose I am some sort of human sunflower, for I always want to follow the sun—to the south, from England, and to the west. To the east of our home in Chislehurst was the wretched town of Sidcup. I called it “Sick-up,” for it was a place which I prejudicially despised as I now despise Berkeley and Oakland from the standpoint of westerly Sausalito and Mount Tamalpais, upon whose beneficent slopes I am now writing. Beyond Sidcup there is a cruddy, run-down suburban and industrial area which ends up, believe it or not, in a place called Gravesend. I never went there, but judging from its position on the map,<sup>2</sup> it must be the rectum of England—not, perhaps, a real slum such as one finds in Whitechapel or Liddypool, but something even worse—a sordid monotony of identical babyshit-colored houses, adjoined row upon row.

So I am a mobile sunflower. I find myself incarnated at a pleasant—but often dingy and murky—point on a ball from which the sun is always south and constantly moves west. Being accustomed to the temperate zone, I don’t want to live right under the sun, in the tropics, but I want to get a little warmer and to consort with people whose emotions are not quite so cold and standoffish. Look at this from the standpoint of a child who knows only his own immediate area. To the southwest of home there was the wild land of Pett’s Wood and Colonel Edelman’s sky-open estate. There were those elegant Elizabethan, Queen Anne, and Victorian mansions with their splendid gardens and cedar trees just south of Saint Nicholas’s Church. There was the hopeful luminosity of the western sky in the early morning. There was

---

<sup>2</sup> The map of England, Scotland, and Wales shows an American Indian riding on a pig, pursuing the ball of Ireland to the West.

also, right on the edge of the commons, the home of my closest childhood friend, Ronald Macfarlane, who has now most sensibly become a student of Vedanta and breeds chickens on the open field in Cambridgeshire. He lived with his gentle and bewitchingly full-blown-rose-sexy mother and his warm-gruff father in an enormous house, appropriately called Brackenside, which smelled of the particular African tobacco that his father smoked constantly in a silver-lidded pipe. West also, at the bottom of Summer Hill, was Chislehurst Station—which is today almost exactly as it was fifty years ago—the point of departure for adventures in London and, better, for expeditions to the seacoast of Sussex. Much as I loved my home, that station—with the knock-knock sound of tickets being issued, the trring of the bell announcing an approaching train, and the murmuring rails as a train came in from the distance—was a center of liberation.

Like a moth drawn to the light I had to go west and south, following that interior compass which was aligned by the very topography of the place in which I was born—the southwest room of the house, and the southwest pleasantness of the village. Moving in that direction I always felt elated, and returning, depressed. So it was that in due course I discovered the Celtic-flavored Southwest of England, which from my point of departure, took off from the bare grassy hills of Berkshire with their dolmens (of burial mounds) from the days of the Druids, on to Avalon (or Glastonbury) in Somerset, where one felt the lurking presence of the Holy Grail, to Worle Hill—a lofty headland at the mouth of the Bristol Channel, crowned by a small, ruined, and ancient church in which I most earnestly sought the Christian God and didn't find him, and at which point I bought, from a curio shop in Weston-super-Mare, a small image of the Buddha—of the Daibutsu in Kamakura.<sup>3</sup> I liked the expression on his face. It wasn't judgmental or frantic, but stately and serene, and the title "Buddha" went along in my mind with buds.

This interior compass eventually drew me across the Atlantic, first to New York, then to Chicago, and at last to California—at which destination

---

<sup>3</sup> Why has no one ever made a correct reproduction of this extraordinary statue? I have seen hundreds and possess two reasonable facsimiles, but they never get the correct proportions of the head.

I saw a fog-clouded horizon and knew that this was the end of the West. But I felt that I had arrived, that I was comfortable and in my proper place, and that I had only to make up my mind as to whether to go a little way north or a little way south. It might have taken me to Portugal, or to the Riviera, but in those days I could not make a living in such places, and there they were also having their periodic political fits. Of course, through the ravages of commerce and gross misgovernment, California is rapidly being turned into a desert (or a plastic nursery like Disneyland), but I have found myself a quiet forested valley as a retreat for writing, and there isn't a single human habitation in sight. At any moment there might be a formidable earthquake—yet that is the way things are. Elsewhere it might be a tornado, an ice storm, a plane crash, a war, or simply slipping on the soap in the bathroom.

I have carefully considered going back to Chislehurst, because the environment is a much more agreeable place for an adult than a child. But, as everyone knows, the main reason for England's imperial exploits was that its more imaginative natives wanted to escape from its climate and its cooking. Some also wanted to escape its three hundred religions. In winter, which can last most of the year, the east wind brings a damp cold which, although nowhere near as low in actual temperature as a Chicago winter, seems to penetrate and freeze one's very bones, and to perpetrate an affliction, almost unknown in America, called "chilblains," which are red and itchy swellings on the hands.

As for the cooking, this subject has been treated by innumerable authors. I can say only that when I was first sent to boarding school, at Saint Hugh's, Bickley, one of the masters, urgently warned my parents that I was eating nothing but bread. This is not entirely true, for there were also fried pork sausages of a peculiarly scrumptious type that are no longer available, served with mashed potatoes, and also bars of milk chocolate and chocolate-coated marshmallows. We also managed to get down slices of suet pudding smothered in Lyle's Golden Syrup. For the rest, it shall be nameless. And, as was quite otherwise in the good old days, there was no beer. Before children were invented, during the Industrial Revolution, and set off as a special class of subpeople, everyone drank beer—and, despite Löwenbräu, Heidsieck, Kirin,

and Doseches, there is no beer like the beer of England.<sup>4</sup> Yet, anachronistically, the school song was a drinking song in honor of Saint Hugh of Lincoln, tamer of wild swans, whose feast day was November 17.

Cold's the wind and wet's the rain,  
Saint Hugh be our good speed!  
Ill is the weather that brings no gain,  
Nor helps good hearts in need.

So—troll the bowl, the jolly brown bowl,  
And here, kind friend, "To thee!"  
Let's sing a song for Saint Hugh's soul,  
And down it merrily.

But if it is impractical physically, I must go back to Rowan Tree Cottage, Holbrook Lane, in the imagination, because, as I am suggesting, the layout of this house and garden gave me a compass for a life course. It wasn't forced on me. I feel, somehow, that I chose it and would not have had it otherwise, and I cannot find it in me to blame my parents in any way for the mazes and tangles through which I have had to go to get where I am, doing what I really want to do in this astounding valley. Thus far I have described only the two front rooms. Behind, and to the east, were a pantry, a larder, and an auxiliary kitchen. I was gently discouraged from investigating the pantry and larder too closely, and thus these little storage rooms became places of pleasant mystery. In the pantry we kept the china and glass, and, in later years, my father stowed there all the admirable beer which he made from Kentish hops by his own recipe. The larder was what would now be the refrigerator—actually a "cooler"—a solidly walled room with a wire window-screen where milk, butter, meat, vegetables, bread, and rock salt were kept. The little kitchen—auxiliary, because much of the baking and cooking was done on the iron stove in the dining room—was then more of a scullery. Massively stuffed into one corner was an enormous and formidable institution,

---

<sup>4</sup> America has Rainier Ale and Meisterbrau Dark, the latter available only within a hundred miles of Milwaukee. The rest might just as well be ginger ale with alcohol added.

never used, known as “The Copper”—a receptacle with a fireplace beneath for boiling anything from laundry to a baron of beef.

Letters, excitement, friends, everything new came in by the western front door. But everything normal and regular came in by the eastern back door of the kitchen, where the representative of Mr. Coffin, the grocer, would call every day to take our orders, and where the milkman—who drove an incredible two-wheeled, horse-drawn chariot—would deliver the milk in metal canisters which, when empty, he would fling with clang and gusto into the chariot’s bowels.

There was also in the dining room an amiable and mysterious monstrosity known as the Housetop. Below, it was a mahogany chest of drawers combined with a desk having, instead of a roll top, a hinged panel like one side of a roof. On the inside this panel was covered with green baize, and formed the writing surface when the desk was open. Above, it was a high matching cupboard, reaching almost to the ceiling, in which my mother hid away plum puddings wrapped in cheesecloth, fruit cake, brandy, *eau-de-vie*, and preserved fruits, amid cutglass decanters and such conceits of the Victorian silversmith as a fitted tray for four eggcups, accompanied by two chicks respectively loaded with salt and pepper. (I loved boiled eggs, and when my eyes were no higher than the edge of the table the appearance of eggs would set me to trotting around it saying, “Egg-egg-egg-egg-egg-egg...”)

Inside the desk were small drawers and pigeonholes containing such delights as my father’s checkbooks, printed in some ink that smelled of wealth and banks; but our olfactory vocabulary is so poor that it cannot be described. There were also drawers of semiobsolete fountain pens (the kind that one filled with a dropper), ordinary dip pens with scratchy points, screw pencils run out of leads, short rulers, compasses, and nameless gadgets with ivory handles for pricking, scoring, and scratching. There was another drawer for playing cards, with a box of Chinese chips in the form of ornate fish cut from mother-of-pearl. Here were Japanese-made gadgets for keeping score at bridge or whist—small rectangular tablets of black lacquer edged with hinged ivory tabs about the size and shape of a thumbnail, each inlaid with a different insect in varying shades of abalone shell.

In the center of this bank of drawers and pigeonholes was a small cupboard flanked by Corinthian columns with gilded capitals. It contained mostly photographs, postcards, and old letters, but there was a secret way of pulling out the whole unit to get access to two hidden compartments behind the columns. I didn't discover this until I was in my teens and, for some reason, have only the vaguest memory of what was kept there. Perhaps it was jewelry. But from as far back as I can remember I always had the fantasy that, somewhere, the Husetop contained some mystery, some hidden treasure, some magical entity that would be a key to the secret of life. The feeling was, of course, intensified because my parents kept me from exploring the Husetop too closely—for the simple reason that they didn't want all their papers disarranged. In 1968, when we had to sell the house because my father was too old to maintain it, I went through every nook and cranny of the Husetop—as well as all the chests of drawers and the attic—for even at the age of fifty-three that childish fantasy still lingered. Indeed, there were lots of interesting treasures and trinkets—but in all such quests and searches, as also in my pull to the West, it keeps coming back to me that the secret is in the seeker.

But it is fascinating to try to specify the external lure. Just what was it that I expected to find by following the sun, or hidden away in a secret box? I am quite certain that the pull to the West and South was the ancient search for the Paradise Garden—somewhere a courtyard with a fountain, set about with roses and magnolia trees, cypresses and willows, as may be seen in Persian miniatures, and with round arches through which one can look down upon an ocean fondling jagged islets with foam. And in this garden the point to which I drift, like a bee bewitched, is some flower—an iris, a jonquil, a crocus, or a morning glory. Indeed, I have sometimes wandered in such paradises with a magnifying glass, to gaze deeply into these translucent coronas of yellow and purple, ivory and coral with a contemplative devotion which is surely mystical. As my mother put it—once when showing me a morning glory—“Doesn't it make you feel jazzy inside!” Indeed, so many human beings have dreamed of this paradise that poets and literary people fear to seem trite in trying to evoke this beatific vision of the bee.

Of course it follows very simply that the thing I am hoping to find in

the secret box is a jewel, whether indestructible as a diamond or emerald, or temporary as a dewdrop or crystal of snow. To pass into it through the eyes, to integrate one's consciousness with its ecstatic center of energy, and so enter the point where all one's nerve currents flow back into the place from which they come, where the individual is the universal and the moment eternity. The ecstasy of the jewel and the flower, of the Buddhist *mani padma*, of the jewel in the lotus as in *Om mani padme hum*, is a fascination so widely spread that it must have some unexplored connection with our whole psychophysical structure, and perhaps with the very design of life.

Serious cruelwits may dismiss jewels and flowers as ornaments and baubles for the brief delectation of children and vacuous women. But if so, why are gems worth vast sums of money? Why are focal points of religious aspiration so often represented as the bright centers of mandalas and petaled aureoles? Why the rose windows of cathedrals, the Celestial Rose in Dante's vision of Paradise, the Rose Garden of Our Lady, and the Lotus Throne of Mahavairocana—the great Buddha of the Sun? It adds only another question to see in all this a symbolism of sex, of self-abandonment in the paradise of the vaginal flower, for why, in turn, is *that* so fascinating—for reasons which must go far beyond its function as a reproductive lure?

I carry over from childhood the vague but persistent impression of being exposed to hints of an archaic and underground culture whose values were lost to the Protestant religion and the industrial bourgeoisie, indeed to the modern West in general. This may be nothing but fantasy, but I seem to have been in touch with lingering links to a world both magical and mystical that was still understood among birds, trees, and flowers and was known—just a little—to my mother and perhaps to one or two of my nursemaids. Or was it just I who carried in my genes or in my “collective unconscious” the apprehension of whole worlds of experience which official culture repressed or ignored? The *disciplinum arcanum* of this culture, so easily mistaken in the child for idle reverie, was that intense contemplative watching of the eternal now, which is sometimes revived by the use of psychedelic drugs, but which came to me through flowers, jewels, reflected light in glass, and expanses of clear sky. I get it also from music that is not mechanical and does not march, as from the music of India which I loved at first hearing and which

continues, like a lost name on the tip of the tongue, to put me in mind of a long-forgotten afternoon in a sunlit room where magicians were playing on the heartstrings of the universe.

How well, then, is it remembered—for it is hardly mentioned today—that the contemplation of jewels is a way for the mind to understand itself and see its own reflection? I have just turned up the story of the Indian king Kankanapa, who learned yoga by gazing into the diamonds on his bracelet, following the instruction of a sage who told him, “Behold the diamonds of your bracelet, fix your mind upon them, and mediate thus: They are sparkling in all the colors of the rainbow; yet, these colors which gladden my heart have no nature of their own. In the same way our imagination is inspired by multifarious forms of appearance, which have no nature of their own. The mind alone is the radiant jewel, from which all things borrow their temporal reality.”<sup>5</sup> A gypsy woman may use a crystal ball to probe the future, but a child who uses it to look into eternity may be better occupied than in memorizing multiplication tables.


All that I have so far described is a world that, to a child, needs no explanation or justification. The routine of shops on the Parade, the clop-clop of horses going by, the trees, fields, and bracken, the flowers, vegetables, and insects; and mother and father playing and singing in the drawing room with its Chinese embroideries—all this was unproblematic, a kind of incarnate music that was sufficient to itself and, as itself, the explanation of life.

Troubles began when you went to the bleak and unheated upstairs—to bed, bathroom, and prayers. Especially prayers. The rooms above had no features of beauty whatsoever—except their view of the garden. The bathroom was so abominable that I have made a drawing of it. It was constructed by a people, by a whole culture, which had never figured out delightful and amusing ways of handling such fundamentals of life as crapulation and bathing. Even an impecunious Japanese farmer has a reasonable bath where you can sit, soak, and laugh with the rest of the family, but it is kept separate from the

---

<sup>5</sup> Lama Anagarika Govinda, *Foundations of Tibetan Mysticism* (New York: E. P. Dutton & Co., 1959), p. 59.





THE BATHROOM AT ROWAN TREE COTTAGE, CHISLEHURST

Looking back at it now, it wasn't so bad after all—especially when compared with the washing facilities of most people in the world. But as a child I hated and despised the place. The contraption to the far right is a geyser, for the gas heating of water. The puffy object on the rack over the bath is a natural sponge, then widely used for rinsing oneself. The roll of toilet paper was of a brand called Novio, smooth, hard, and shiny, and almost completely useless for its intended purpose. Just above it is a calendar with a pad of tear-off sheets for each day, giving the day of the week, the date, and a quotation from the Bible.

crapatorium, or *benjo*, which is operated on a system that, instead of wasting millions of gallons of water and requiring complex and ridiculous thrones, enables human excrements to be recycled and returned to the earth. The amount of shit we allow to flow out into the oceans is simply wasted manure—wasted, neglected, ignored because our eyes, noses, and mouths go one way and our assholes another, and because we are “ladies and gentlemen” we do not deign to look backward. But this crick in the neck is killing us. Do not be like serpents. Go on. Go forward. Progress. Remember Lot’s wife, who looked backward at Sodom and Gomorrah and was turned into salt—by a one-way God.

All my childhood preceptors—parents, nurses, and physicians—were fascinated, even obsessed, by the problems of constipation. They seemed to want, above all things, to know “Have you *been?*” They invaded the bathroom with an almost religious enthusiasm to discover whether you had made it. They insisted that you “go” every morning immediately after breakfast, whether or not you felt so inclined. If you didn’t, they dosed you with “California Syrup of Figs” (which is not to be found in California, just as English muffins are unknown in England), and if that did not produce results the next step was senna tea, the next cascara sagrada, the next calomel, and at last, the final punishment of castor oil—a sickening poison which gives you instant diarrhea. And then, as Dr. Tallent once remarked to one of my constipation-panicked nurses, “There is always some constipation after diarrhea.” Thus the vicious cycle of crapulatory anxiety went on and on. As the great Dr. Georg Groddeck, colleague of Freud, once said, “There is a hole at the bottom and it has to come out eventually,” and as a fact of historical memorabilia a certain King Ferdinand VII of Spain, who flourished, as I vaguely remember, in the early nineteenth century, existed for twenty-seven days without a bowel movement.

Actually, in this connection I have an early memory of an incident which may be curiously revealing about my father’s attitude to life. I had been lying in my crib exploring my body, as infants do, and had recovered a small piece of dry excrement. My father saw me playing with it and asked, “What have you got there?” I held it up. He took it from my fingers, looked at it carefully, and gave it back.

From a child’s point of view most adults are plainly irrational. As I get

older, I begin more and more to feel that being brought up and “educated” is a form of hypnosis, brainwashing, and indoctrination that is extremely difficult to survive with one’s senses intact. For me, being literate and articulate is a form of judo, of overcoming the game by its own method, though I must not be taken too seriously in this respect since I have a certain pride in my style as a Brahmin.

So, in this miserable bathroom I was taught prayers by my mother, and spanked by my mother who, for that purpose, sat upon the crapulatory throne, and told Bible stories by a governess, Miss Hoyle, who was forceful and ugly—unlike three other memorable and lovely nannies, Milly Hills, Miss Nielsen (from Denmark), and Miss Baumer (from the Netherlands), beneficent witches who beguiled me with fairy tales, and subtly represented the archaic resistance of Western Europe to the Christian invasion from Rome. Of course, my mother thought she was doing “the right thing,” but she was regarded as the black sheep of her family for lack of true enthusiasm for their dreary and tiresome religion. She would sometimes mock their more lugubrious hymns, such as:

Weary of earth, and laden with my sin,  
I looked at heav’n and longed to enter in.  
But there no evil [i.e., sexy] thing may find a home:  
And yet I hear a voice that bids me “Come.”

And they don’t seem to realize what doubletalk they are singing. The Misses Hills, Nielsen, and Baumer put—as the Scandinavian saying goes—raven’s blood in my mother’s milk. They gave me a sense of magic and the weird, which my mother, with her intense sensitivity to floral beauty, had not altogether renounced, and which my father simply compounded with tales from Kipling and the *Arabian Nights*.

In this same bathroom, then, my mother taught me my first prayer, which was not the usual “Now I lay me down to sleep,” but

Gentle Jesus, Shepherd, hear me:  
Bless thy little lamb tonight.  
Through the darkness be thou near me;  
Keep me safe ’til morning light.

Let my sins be all forgiven;  
[Which I would repeat as: Let my sins be awful given;]  
Bless the friends I love so well.  
Take me, when I die, to heaven,  
Happy there with thee to dwell.

This doggerel inspired in me entirely unnecessary terrors of darkness and death, and made “going to heaven” as depressing as the alternative, “going to hell,” was horrendous. For Christians have never had a good idea of heaven.

Be my last thought, “How sweet to rest  
For ever on my Savior’s breast.”

This might be fun for a nun, but for a man it is an invitation to the boredom of a homosexual paradise—which is not to say that I condemn homosexuality, but only that I do not enjoy it. There was also that twisted-head idea of heaven which describes the immense fun of eternity as

Prostrate before Thy throne to lie,  
And gaze and gaze on Thee.

Children notice these things and, though they may make jokes about them among themselves, are often seriously troubled by the apparent seriousness with which adults take them.

Children, as well as adults, make humorous, bantering, scurrilous, and abusive uses of the notion of hell as everlasting post-mortem damnation. But I was so appalled by this possibility that I would lie awake at night worrying about it, frightened of going to sleep because of the obvious analogy between sleep and death. People were always talking about someone or other who “died in his sleep.” My mother tried to console me by quoting John 3:16, but there seemed to be no way of being really and truly sure that one actually and genuinely did believe in Jesus, or whether one had not inadvertently committed the unpardonable sin against the Holy Ghost by laughing at the limerick

Il y avait un jeune home de Dijon  
Qui n’amait pas la religion.

Il dit, “O ma foi,  
Comme drôle sont ces trois:  
Le Père, et le Fils, et le Pigeon.”

Which, I suppose could be translated into English as

There was a young fellow of Dijon,  
Who took a dislike to religion.  
He said, “Oh my God,  
These three are so odd—  
The Father, the Son, and the Pigeon.”<sup>6</sup>

As one is tempted to fall over a precipice from vertigo, the child exposed to this grotesque Bible religion is apt to mutter compulsively under his breath, “Damn the Holy Ghost,” and then suffer from paroxysms of guilt. Do the adults seriously mean that if you whisper this diabolic formula you will, when dead, squirm and scream in unquenchable fire forever and ever and ever, Amen? After all, a child is not theologically sophisticated, and takes this imagery literally.

My own bedroom was on the southwest of the upper floor, overlooking a wide area of vegetable gardens, or allotments, the Workmen’s Clubhouse, and—beyond rows of trees—the spire of Saint Nicholas’s Church. Until, in early adolescence, I made this room into a congenial den, it was a plain, boring, and purely functional bedroom to which one was sent as a place of punishment because one was being a nuisance on the lower floor, or because it

---

<sup>6</sup> Why translate it? Because most of my American readers, especially the younger, do not understand French, or any language other than their own. Strangely, from a European point of view, they may otherwise be amazingly intelligent people. Also, unless they come from a respectably churchly family (Episcopalian or Presbyterian) or from the Bible-crazed South, they will not have read the Bible at all, and thus will not understand the reference John 3:16, a verse from the Gospel of Saint John in which it is said that “God so loved the world that he gave his only-begotten Son, Jesus Christ, that whosoever believes in him shall not perish, but have eternal life.” Even then, an intelligent young American hasn’t the faintest idea of what is meant by an “only-begotten Son” or by “eternal life.” Neither, for that matter, have most of the clergymen—except some of the very young set, who have recently been getting such excellent theological instruction that they are completely at odds with their parishioners.

was the proper time of evening to GO TO BED or, worse, to “take a rest” in the afternoon. Why don’t adults understand that the siesta, however delectable for themselves after an ample luncheon with good wine, and with the companionship of a pleasing lover, is a colossal bore to a child who gets neither wine nor lover? Perhaps one might also ask why children, with their fantastic energy, do not realize how irritating they can be to adults. Of course, the mild and perfectly mannered children of Japan, China, and Mexico are simply undernourished, by our standards. They fill them up with starch. As soon as the children of prosperous and Westernized Japanese go on our diet they become objectionable brats, but so long as they stay on starch and vegetables they are allowed to stay up at night, and to doze off naturally into sleep when they are genuinely tired.

But the culture in which I and almost all whites were raised vainly imagines that hunger, sleeping, and excreting can be regimented. Amerindians have always mocked the palefaces for looking at clocks to know when they ought to be hungry. It is in the same clock-mad spirit that we are all supposed to “work” from nine to five on such preposterous projects as accounting for what we have done upon billions of square miles of paper derived from devastated forests, frittering away our time upon such dreary gambling games as playing the stock market or selling insurance in drab offices, turning out drillions of lines of chatter for people whose minds cannot be at peace unless perpetually agitated with information and misinformation, and manufacturing, selling, and advertising bizarre, noisome, and pestilential automotive contraptions for taking us all to and from these same projects at the same hours—thereby blocking the roads and jangling our nerves, presumably to give ourselves the message that we really exist and are really important.

Thus I could never understand why my beloved father had to dress himself in absurd black clothes, assume an umbrella, and top himself with a fatuous bowler (American: derby) hat to catch the eight-thirty train to the City and bore himself all day by selling tires for Monsieur Bibendum of the Michelin Company, which has, however, somewhat exonerated itself by providing its celebrated guide to the restaurants of France. Therefore, at about the age of twenty-one, I made to myself the solemn vow that I would never by an employee or put up with a “regular job.” I have not always been

able to fulfill this vow. I have had to work (in a reasonably independent manner) for the Church and for a graduate school, but since the age of forty-two I have been a free lance, a rolling stone, and a shaman, as distinct from an apostolically succeeded priest. For the shaman gets his magic alone in the mountains and forests, whereas a priest gets his from being ordained by a guru or bishop. The first goes with a culture of nomads and hunters, and the second with cultures of agrarians or industrialists. Although I am, unofficially and on the side, an ordained priest of the Anglican Communion, my genes must have come from the nomads of Europe and my reincarnation from the Taoist poets of China or the *yamabushi*, or mountain hermits, of Japan. I am gregarious, but I like to be left alone.

I especially remember that bedroom on Sunday twilights. The British have, even now, a positive and supernatural genius for making Sunday depressing. They roll up not only the sidewalks but everything else, and permit only the celebration of their three hundred dreary religions. Those not disposed to religion swarm off to the seacoast in miles of traffic jams. But alone in that bedroom I would hear the bells of Saint Nicholas falling down through the major scale, ringing the changes forever downwards, to summon the faithful to Evensong, to the closing service of the day, with, as John Betjemen has put it, undertones of “death and hell at last.”

Strangely enough, young people in Japan have the same feeling about the atmosphere of their parents’ Buddhism—the atmosphere which is, to me, enchanting and magical with booming gong-bells and deep-throated and unintelligible sutrachanting. To them all this is *kurai*—a word which means deep, dark, dank, musty, gloomy, and sad. This was more or less the way I felt about the bells of Saint Nicholas on a Sunday evening. They were all at once sweet, melodious, and *kurai*. I love-hated them.

The day Thou gavest, Lord, is ended,  
 The darkness falls at Thy behest;  
 To Thee our morning hymns ascended,  
 Thy praise shall sanctify our rest.

(Sung to a wobbly, sentimental tune called Saint Clement, composed in 1874.)

It is understandable, therefore, that I have an aversion to bedrooms—

to rooms specifically set aside for sleeping. Usually I curl up on a divan in my library or studio, cuddled up with (a) my wife and (b) a blanket—preferably a soft one made with vicuña or, according to the weather, one of those substantial Mexican blankets from Oaxaca or Toluca in which the wool of white sheep and black sheep are combined into those fundamental designs where the figure is always interchangeable with the background. The British and the Western Europeans in general, as well as the North Americans, waste the space of their homes with these rooms for ludicrously vast sleeping-machines—some with four pillars and a roof, some with iron fences at each end, topped with brass balls, and some with mahogany headboards whose function I have never yet understood. I would rather follow the Turkish proverb that “He who sleeps on the floor will not fall out of bed.” In sum, I despise almost all furniture as monstrous, heavy, space-greedy, expensive, and pretentious. Most beds and chairs make me think of crutches and surgical appliances, and while these things have merciful uses for the aged and infirm, anyone who is healthy and under sixty, especially a child, can do without them.<sup>7</sup>

It was also in this room that I was trapped, with the very best of intentions, into having my appendix removed by Mister (the British make it a pun-donor not to refer to surgeons as Doctor) Russell Howard, Fellow of the Royal College of Surgeons, with the assistance of Doctors Tallent and Graham Hodgson (anaesthetist), and with the collaboration of my astonishing Aunt Gertrude, my mother’s younger sister, who was a trained nurse at the London Hospital. Auntie Gertie was a pretty, vivacious tomboy who saved my life a few days after birth by discovering that I had an infected navel, and by watching me constantly through the cure. Before the operation she rubbed my belly (which she called my Little Mary) with some sort of iodine solution, and when I revived from the anaesthetic I beheld her in full nurse’s uniform

---

<sup>7</sup> In some book of Victorian *curiosa* I have even seen a photograph of a special appliance, of carefully polished and elaborately shaped wood, that was supposed to be a sort of flattened-out chaise or support for the purpose of sexual intercourse, and one can imagine it being used by such a couple as is portrayed in Lautrec’s *Divan Japonais*. She trussed in black, and he in his opera hat, with his amber-headed cane, and monocle, disdainfully fingering the menu.



like a guardian angel, and I was so turned on by the mixture of chloroform and ether which Dr. Hodgson had used that I found I could dream any dream I wanted to dream.

I had no sexual feeling for Gertrude Buchan. I can't even remember her figure—only her face and her style—but it simply has to go on the record—IN LOVING MEMORY—that this woman not only saved my life but was also the constant companion of my childhood, and had the incredible patience to listen attentively to the interminable tales which I made up on the spur of the moment. It is unbelievable how my adult relations tolerated me, for I talked and spun out stories incessantly, and when there was no one to listen I told them to myself. For several years (it must have been from four to eight) I elaborated, with illustrations, a serial-story about an island kingdom in the middle of the Pacific, most incongruously named Bath Bian Street, a name which I think echoed the sound of railway officials calling out the names of stations.

With the accompanying drawings you will see that these islanders were stickmen with varying types of heads. The inhabitants of Bath Bian Street itself had spoon-shaped heads, and were beneficently and heroically ruled by King Eecky, with the help of his Chief of Staff Forky, and his Generals Tocky and Bicky. The religion of the island consisted in the worship of a deceased little girl named Hiery, in whose honor they had built an immense temple with two mile-high towers known as the Hieress. Their repulsive enemies, on a neighboring island, were the Blacklanders, whose elongated heads fell back from their necks, and who tied their hair in buns. Their king was Guzzy-and-Seat, so named from the style of his walk, which was slightly to lower his seat, or bottom, after each step and thus proceed with the rhythm “Guzzy-and-Seat, Guzzy-and-Seat, Guzzy-and-Seat . . .” (As a child I was very conscious of people's walking rhythms—gliding, stomping, bouncing, or tripping—and used to make up ditties to describe them. The headmaster of King's School, Canterbury, in his black gown and mortarboard, went “Damson, damson, damson, damson.”)

Perhaps it was this propensity for storytelling, combined with my mother's ill health and my father's necessity to take long trips all over the country in the cause of Monsieur Bibendum, that compelled them to get rid of me as often as possible—and I don't blame them! They employed four



Eecky, King of the Island of Bath Bian Street.




Guzzy-and-Seat, King of Blackland.



Forky



Tocky




Bicky

(Lieutenants of Eecky)



Blacklander, with extreme dolicocephalous head, and hair in bun.




Bath Bian Street soldier, on the run.



An islander of Little Blownose, allies of Bath Bian Street.



The Princess Hiery—always drawn from behind, because of inability to make a sufficiently beautiful face.



BATH BIAN STREET REPULSES AN INVASION OF THE BLACKLANDERS.

nannies or governesses to cushion themselves against me between birth and the age of seven-and-a-half, at which point I was sent off to a boarding school for instruction in laughing and grief, in militarism and regimented music, in bibliolatriy and bad ritual, in cricket, soccer, and rugby, in preliminary accounting, banking, and surveying (known as arithmetic, algebra, and geometry), and in subtle, but not really overt, homosexuality. It was a school for aristocrats, attended by relatives of the Royal Family, of the Imperial House of Russia, of the Rajas of India, and sons of industrial tycoons. There was even a boy who had been buggered by an Arabian prince. And my parents knocked themselves out financially to send me to this amazing institution.



## CHAPTER TWO

# TANTUM RELIGIO

I was brought up in a culture that for more than a thousand years had been smothered in and diseased with religion. On at least the pretext of religious zeal it had initiated the Crusades, the Holy Inquisition, the Puritan Revolution, the Thirty Years War, and the subjugation and cultural destruction of India, Africa, China, and the native civilizations of North and South America. Diseases are not, of course, entirely bad. The finest incense in the world—aloeswood—is made from a diseased part of the tree, and pearls are a sickness of oysters. Thus there are esoteric or underground aspects of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam which, though usually persecuted, are of surpassing interest. But in their exoteric and official aspects they are a repression of all ecstasies except those of righteous indignation, violence, and military pomp. “Those who take the sword shall perish by the sword” but, on the other hand, “I came not to bring peace but a sword,” so “Let not your left hand know what your right hand doeth.” On the whole, therefore, I am ashamed of this culture and have done my best to tame it with more peaceful and convivial principles derived, for the most part, from Hindu, Buddhist, and Taoist philosophy.

I said “on the whole,” and therefore not entirely. For my life has been an attempt to reconcile what are supposed to be opposites, and my name “Alan” means “harmony” in Celtic and “hound” in Anglo-Saxon. Accordingly, my existence is, and has been, a paradox, or better, a coincidence of opposites. On the one hand I am a shameless egotist. I like to talk, entertain, and hold the center of the stage, and can congratulate myself that I have done this to a considerable extent—by writing widely read books, by appearing on radio

and television, and by speaking before enormous audiences. On the other hand I realize quite clearly that the ego named Alan Watts is an illusion, a social institution, a fabrication of words and symbols without the slightest substantial reality; that it will be utterly forgotten within five hundred years (if our species lasts that long), and that my physical organism will shortly pass off into dust and ashes. And I have no illusions that some sort of proprietary and individual soul, spook, or ghost will outlast it.

Nevertheless, I know too that this temporary pattern, this process, is a function, a doing, a *karma*, of all that is and of the “which than which there is no whicher” in just the same way as the sun, the galaxy, or, shall we be bold to say, Jesus Christ or Gautama the Buddha. How can I say this without offense—without seeming proud, haughty, and pretentious? I simply, and even humbly, know that I am The Eternal, even though such supremely enlightened people as Jesus, Buddha, Kabir, Sri Ramakrishna, Hakuin, and Sri Ramana Maharshi may have manifested this knowledge in a more forceful and authoritative style. I would be affecting the most dishonest false modesty if I did not acknowledge this, and yet the idea of my coming on as a messiah or great guru just breaks me up with laughter.

Because, at the same time, I am an unrepentant sensualist. I am an immoderate lover of women and the delights of sexuality, of the greatest French, Chinese, and Japanese cuisine, of wines and spiritous drinks, of smoking cigars and pipes, of gardens, forests, and oceans, of jewels and paintings, of colorful clothes, and of finely bound and printed books. If I were extremely rich I would collect incunabula and rare editions, Japanese swords, Tibetan jewelry, Persian miniatures, Celtic illuminated manuscripts, Chinese paintings and calligraphies, embroideries and textiles from India, images of Buddhas, Oriental carpets, Navajo necklaces, Limoges enamels, and venerable wines from France. Yet there have been two or three times in my life when I have had to abandon almost all possessions and go it alone, and thus I have also an attraction to being a no-strings-attached Taoist wanderer in the mountains, “cloud-hidden, whereabouts unknown.” And, when the mood suits me, I also like to practice Buddhist meditation in the Zen or Tibetan *zog-chen* style, which is simply sitting quietly or walking rhythmically without thoughts or verbalizations in your head.

Sitting quietly, doing nothing,  
Spring comes and grass grows by itself.

Or the Western version:

Sometimes I sits and thinks,  
But mostly I just sits.

My wife, looking over my shoulder, has just suggested that this is the real meaning of the doctrine of the Immaculate Conception—to be clean of concepts, and thus to be in that state of awareness which yogis call *nirvikalpa samadhi*, and it struck us that if this news got around it would completely subvert and transform the Catholic Church.

For the Church is the world's most talkative institution, and the Church of England, in which I was most firmly brought up, is, of course, a branch of the Catholic Church—though politically and economically separated from the See of Rome.<sup>1</sup> But these impoverished Christians do nothing in their religious observances except chatter. They tell God what he ought and ought not to do, and inform him of things of which he is already well aware, such as that they are miserable sinners, and proceed then to admonish one another to feel guilt and regret about abominable behavior which they have not the least intention of changing. If God were the sort of being most Christians suppose him to be, he would be beside himself with boredom listening to their whinings and flatteries, their redundant requests and admonitions, not to mention the asinine poems set to indifferent tunes which are solemnly addressed to him as hymns.

---

<sup>1</sup> But recently I took my wife's niece, Kathleen, to Mass at Westminster Cathedral, the Roman Catholic headquarters of England, and the service, uttered in English instead of Latin, was almost indistinguishable from the same service—celebrated a little way east—at Westminster Abbey. It was beautifully done, and the sermon was a brief and highly intelligent drawing of analogy between the order of God and the order of vegetation. But the Catholic Church has lost its magic by celebrating the mass in the vernacular instead of Latin. As Clare Booth Luce said to me a few days later, it is no longer possible to practice contemplative prayer at Mass. However, things being what they are, there is no longer the least reason why the Church of England and the Church of Rome should be at odds.



This was why I was always attracted to the old style of Roman Catholicism, wherein you could steal into church unnoticed and listen to a perfectly unintelligible service in splendid Gregorian chant. The whole thing was music, and God was not bored. But, alas, my mother, though not fanatical about it, was a Protestant of the Low Church persuasion, and took me off on Sundays to Christ Church for the didactic services of Morning Prayer or Ante-Communion, in English, where the scholarly and gentle vicar, Mr. Lightfoot, would discourse upon the Bible. He wore a neatly trimmed beard and looked like Jesus, and once presented me with a copy of the New Testament in Greek, though it should be noted that my mother brought about my real downfall by giving me the same scriptures in Chinese. The translation is atrocious, by Chinese literary standards, but that little green book seeded my lifelong interest in Chinese writing and in what Chinese sages and poets had to say about life.

However, when my mother was indisposed, Miss Gussy next door would take me to the ten-o'clock celebration of Choral Communion at Saint Nicholas's, where Canon Dawson and his curate, Mr. Horner, were getting away with something which resembled—as nearly as possible under the laws of the Church of England—a Solemn High Mass. No incense, but genuflections, the Sanctus bell, and a marvelously mantric and unintelligible way of reciting the prayers, so that Elizabethan English might just as well be Sanskrit.

Now, from a child's point of view religious services—at least as conducted by most Christians—are perfectly weird, especially when everyone lines up in pews so as to give the church the appearance of an enormous omnibus, with organ music instead of the sound of the engine. At Christ Church, established and built by the *nouveaux riches* of the Victorian era, the pews were actually rented and bore the name of the family in frilly gold-leaf frames. There were also plush kneeling-hassocks, some of which were also boxes for containing prayer books and hymnals. In front of us were the pews of the Travers-Haweses and the Balmeses, and the hassock-box of the Balmeses contained one of those horrendous Bibles like spaniels, with leather bindings which flop over the edge of the book. Those were the days when women wore immense hats with ostrich feathers, coiled up their hair into buns like cow-pats, and would come to the church-bus in glovelly garments of

thought of composing a book entitled *Hymns Haunting and Horrible*, bound in dark blue cloth, embossed with the mullion-forms of a Gothic church window, and the lettering in gold Olde English type, containing versical and musical parodies of these preposterously infantile ecclesiastical ditties. They are not like Hindu or Buddhist mantras, which are simply hummed for the contemplation of their sound, nor even like Alleluias in Gregorian chant. They are wretched bombastic, moralistic, and maudlin nursery rhymes, even though the choirs of King's College, Cambridge, and the Chapel Royal of London can sing some of them with the voices of angels.

Yet my attitude to these hymns is weirdly ambivalent, for they go on echoing in the dome of my skull. Just a few of them are, indeed, musically superb: *Veni creator, Coelites plaudant, Veni Emmanuel*, and those composed by Bach and Handel. But there are others which I fondle in my mind as the tongue strays over a hole in a tooth. They recall the vast, deserted darkness of Canterbury Cathedral when we all went into a small chapel in the south transept for Evensong, where a dwarf pumped the organ, and our diminutive chaplain with a big head, "Titch" Mayne, conducted the service, and we sang to a stately and triumphant melody:

Give praise then for all them  
 Who sought and here found Him,  
 Whose journey is ended,  
 Whose perils are past.  
 They believed in the Light,  
 And its glory is round them,  
 For the clouds of earth's sorrows  
 Have lifted at last.

And those tunes have far-off resonant names, like England's Lane, Kil-marnock, and Saffron Walden, Splendor Paternae and Woodbird, Regent Square and Saint Osyth, Monks' Gate and Down Ampney—names evoking very ancient Romanesque churches in tiny, mysterious villages with venerable beech trees, or the ghosts of Benedictine fathers chanting Compline at night-fall in adoration of perpetual light, or solemn celebrations of Victorian pomp and circumstance in the musty hush of Westminster Abbey.

Not only did we sing hymns in church and at Uncle Harry's on Sunday nights: my father sang them to me as lullabies; we sang them morning and evening in school. I recollect a breakfast in the home of some Baptist missionaries back from China, where, while the breakfast got cold, everyone sang a hymn, read around the table a verse from some passage of scripture, and then knelt down at their chairs for interminable prayers. At the time, I didn't seriously object. I was impressed, terrified, comforted, and interested by this weird religion, as well as fascinated by tales of magic and adventure in *The Bible*, a volume which I was taught to regard as a sacred charm against evil and misfortune, as many Catholics regard the Blessed Sacrament.

My mother and father had the good taste to belong to the Church of England, as also did Uncle Harry, though he flirted with "chapel" people such as Presbyterians, Methodists, and Baptists who had a strange genius for worshipping God in buildings that looked like obscene mixtures of churches and factories—all entirely devoid of color, except for a peculiarly appalling yellow glass in the windows that was supposed, quite falsely, to give the impression of sunlight on cloudy days. But the Church of England, being the established religion of the land, is ruled by the King or Queen, and thus derives from the *shekinah* or radiance of their majesty a certain dignified splendor. To belong to the Church of England is to feel quite definitely connected with the Royal House, and with a hierarchy which eventually leads up to God in a clear and orderly manner. This peculiar experience is also available to the Japanese, through Shinto, whose style is oddly reminiscent of Anglican ritual; but most Americans know nothing of it, and are therefore lacking in public morale and true *esprit de corps*. This may or may not be a good thing, and I am simply pointing it out.

The style of the Church of England is heraldic. Just look at Westminster Abbey or the Chapel of Saint George at Windsor, with its elegant fan-vaulting and display of proud banners, and at once you are reminded of the noble Norman-French-English formulae of heraldry—"three lions passant regardant gules on a field or"—and this summons up associations with the knights of King Arthur and the High Quest of the Holy Grail. To me, the central shrine of this religion is the corona of Canterbury Cathedral, a high circular

structure at the far east end of the building, where stands the austere stone throne of Saint Augustine, who brought the Catholic faith to England in A.D. 595. All around this corona are tall narrow windows of stained glass, predominantly blue—as good as anything in Chartres—which, with their colors reflecting on the pale grey stone, give the whole place a sense of light and lofty airiness, jeweled transparency and peace. It was in this shrine that I once heard Sir Adrian Boult conduct the Good Friday music from *Parsifal*, and though I am not much of an admirer of Wagner, his mastery of the orchestra was such that the Grail itself seemed to be present. But what secretly amuses me is that an empty throne was the original symbol of the Buddha, of the prince who abandoned kingship and the quest for power.<sup>2</sup>

Once I saw this throne occupied, when I, as a boy of thirteen, carried the long red train of his Grace Cosmo Gordon Lang at his enthronement as Archbishop of Canterbury, amid an immense assembly of bishops, canons, priests, professors, and government officials. Ironically, it was this same Archbishop who—for quite the wrong reason—kicked Edward VIII off the throne of England, because he had chosen to marry a divorced woman. British politics, with all its pomp and circumstance, is a game of musical chairs. Americans play the same game without the music.

So then, I was partially enchanted by the splendor and dignity of this royal religion, by the Victorian dong-dong of Big Ben's clock at Westminster, by the triumphant bells of Canterbury's high towers, by the stately and pompously didactic music of that hymn "The Church's one foundation," and by the grandeur of singing the psalm "I will lift up mine eyes unto the hills" to the ringing Anglican chant that usually goes with it—though I can't really get all this across, for relatively few people who read books can sight-read musical notation.

But there was something wrong. The sermons of the clergy—bleated or sonorously boomed for lack of electronic public-address systems—conveyed

---

<sup>2</sup> The Japanese artist Saburo Hasegawa once rather slyly remarked to me, "You must always remember that one of the main differences between Buddhism and Christianity is that Jesus was the son of a carpenter, and Buddha the son of a king."

nothing beyond the emotional energies of their funny voices, which all of us used to mock and mimic. So, too, we would parody the hymns, such as the doggerel

Through the night of doubt and sorrow,  
 Onward goes the pilgrim band,  
 Singing songs of expectation,  
 Marching to the promised land

as—with all the names referring to the school staff—

Life presents a dreary picture,  
 Dull and empty as the tomb,  
 Little Mo's in bed with stricture,  
 Judy's got a fallen womb.

Butler now through masturbation  
 Never laughs and seldom smiles,  
 Ralph has found an occupation  
 Crushing ice for Buckley's piles.

Thus one of the main reasons for the obsolescence of the Church of England and, for that matter, for the collapse of the British Empire, is that the children could not bring themselves to take it seriously. Canon Bickersteth had a voice so wobbly and up-and-downish in its tonality that he almost gave us hysterics, although laughing in church was most definitely not permitted. Canon Gardner had a magnificent and formidable rumble, especially when reading biblical denunciations of the Amalekites, Midianites, Hivites, and Perizzites. Canon Crum, a sweet and holy man, frail, ascetic, and archetypally priestly in appearance, would bleat his sermons—from which I remember only the phrase, “It is, as the Americans say, monkeying with the buzz saw, monkeying with the buzz saw!” Canon Jenkins, a very learned theologian, who awarded me first prize in Divinity for a highly unorthodox essay, used to declaim sermons, prayers, and scriptures in something close to a shriek, whereas Canon Helmore muttered everything as if he had a perpetually stuffed-up nose.

The Archbishop himself had an impeccably aristocratic, sonorous voice, but I cannot remember a single thing he ever said, except that he was an

extremely witty and accomplished after-dinner speaker. The Dean (when I first went to Canterbury in 1928) was G. K. A. Bell, subsequently Bishop of Chichester, of whom, or of one of his more ancient predecessors in that office, it is written:

There was a young lady of Chichester  
Whose beauty made saints in their niches stir.  
    One morning at mass,  
    The curves of her ass  
Made the Bishop of Chichester's britches stir.

But it is true that Bishop Bell made the famous remark that the clergy are like manure—excellent when spread all over the country while doing their work, but when gathered together in a heap they stink.

He was later succeeded by the notorious Red Dean Hewlett Johnson (imagine a very tall and baldpated George Washington), who talked through his teeth, kept clearing his throat, but lived to trouble the Church until well over ninety. He, and in later years Father Grieg Taber of that scandalously high church of Saint Mary the Virgin, New York, taught me the fundamentals of ecclesiastical ritual, a matter upon which I consider myself something of an authority. I have even served as master of ceremonies at a pontifical Solemn High Mass, celebrated from the throne. As a High Churchman he reformed the style of services in the Cathedral and, among other things, taught us how to process in the right way—not marching and swaying in close order, but gently strolling, about two yards from each other. I was once among a small group of boys whom he invited to dinner in his splendid mansion, where he told us of his adventures in China and, without the slightest self-consciousness, explained the delights of his own way of life. For example, he slept in a camp bed in the open air on top of the Deanery tower— withdrawing to the stairway portico when it rained—and worked standing at a high desk in his white-paneled library, where he would also take his breakfast of fruit and health-food-type cereals.

However, the most beneficent and lovable of all these Canterbury clergy was Canon Trelawney Ashton-Gwatkin, rector of the bucolic parish of Bishopsbourne, a little south of town and approached through a spacious

of my childhood, although I found it later in the Christianity of G. K. Chesterton, Hilaire Belloc, William Temple, Dom Gregory Dix, and Dom Aelred Graham. Throughout my schooling the religious indoctrination was grim and maudlin, though retaining fascination because it had something to do with the basic mysteries of existence. As I attained puberty I had to escape from it, and therefore took refuge in Buddhism. *Buddham saranam gacchami*. I had to get out from under the monstrously oppressive God the Father—nothing like my own father, who never used violence against me, and who constantly backed me up, consorted with me, helped me, and even followed me in my spiritual adventures. He went so far as to become treasurer of the Buddhist Lodge of London, after I joined it at the age of fifteen. I wouldn't even call him "Father." He was always a friendly fellow named Daddy.

But at Canterbury I had to undergo the rites of puberty, which consisted in being confirmed or initiated into the mysteries of the Church of England—mysteries which had altogether ceased to exist, apart from vague and gravely dreadful warnings against masturbation, homosexuality, and playing around with girls. We were given the impression that masturbation would result in syphilis, epilepsy, insanity, acne, pimples on the crotch, and the Great Siberian Itch—not to mention death, judgment, and everlasting damnation. I still wonder what kind of game was going on, because all our preceptors had once been lusty adolescents themselves, and, deprived as we were of women, almost everyone masturbated regularly at night. I felt as if I were in some madhouse where most of the rules were self-contradictory.

Misinformation about sex seemed to be the *ne plus ultra* of initiation into the Church—that is, as conveyed to a boy of fourteen. When aroused, go and dangle your balls in cold water—of which there was plenty, and in winter the jug in my cubicle in the school dormitory was coated with ice. Otherwise, there was a tremendous verbal build-up for the rite of Confirmation. We were given the whole history of the Church and of the Apostolic Succession, and told that the bishop, by virtue of his direct descent from Jesus Christ, would at the moment of laying his hands upon our heads confer upon us some mysterious power that would enable us to be Good, and that thereafter we would

be admitted to the rite of Holy Communion (which the boys called “Co-muggers”) and that this would make us even Gooder.

It was a letdown that when the moment came, the confirming bishop was not the Archbishop himself, but his suffragan, and when he laid his hands on my head absolutely nothing happened. When I first received the Holy Communion there was nothing interesting about it except the taste of the port, though everyone walked back from the altar rail looking like the cat that swallowed the canary. There was no joy, no camaraderie or conviviality, no sense of being turned-on, but only an intense and solitary seriousness. Everyone in his own private box with God, apologizing for having masturbated, fornicated, or adulterated. (One of the boys, lacking all sexual education, understood the commandment “Thou shalt not commit adultery” as “Thou shalt not kick the poultry,” a much more sensible admonition.)

Believe it or not, in our formal prayers we actually gave thanks to God for King Henry VIII, who endowed the school with funds plundered from the adjoining Benedictine monastery—now in ruins—and, while boasting the title *Fidei Defensor* (as if God needed any defense), married six wives of whom he had two ritually murdered. He also composed ecclesiastical music.<sup>4</sup> Most of us boys took all this “English History” of formal beheadings at the Tower of London and glorious wars and burnings at the stake and the naval prowess of that elegant pirate, Sir Francis Drake,<sup>5</sup> as a matter of course or even of grandeur.

But, for myself, I had no heart for this “Onward Christian Soldiers” approach to life. It was thus that at the age of fifteen, as a scholar supported by

---

<sup>4</sup> There is still, I think, a convent in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, where around the table of a former bishop’s library stand six chairs bearing the images of Henry VIII’s wives. The said bishop, upon hearing that a Christian Science Church had been established in town, drove up before it in his horse-drawn landau with footmen, stood up therein, took out his *Rituale*, and solemnly pronounced a curse upon the place. Next day it burned down.

<sup>5</sup> It is said that once, when he held a Spanish captain for ransom, he presented him with bottles of perfume, and entertained him in his cabin to a stately dinner served on gold plate, while listening to a string trio playing Renaissance music. Sir Francis was, apparently, no slouch in his ideas of how to live aboard ship.



the foundation of Canterbury Cathedral, the heart of the Church of England, I formally declared myself to be a Buddhist. As is coming to be known, Buddhism is not really a religion—a way of obedience to someone else's rules, a *regula vitae*—but a method for clarifying and liberating one's state of consciousness. I had found myself in agreement with Lucretius that *Tantum religio potuit suadere malorum*: that too much religion is apt to sway us into evils.

## CHAPTER THREE

# I GO TO THE BUDDHA FOR REFUGE

Speaking as of today, I do not consider it intellectually respectable to be a partisan in matters of religion. I see religion as I see such other basic fascinations as art and science, in which there is room for many different approaches, styles, techniques, and opinions. Thus I am not formally a committed member of any creed or sect and hold no particular religious view or doctrine as absolute. I deplore missionary zeal, and consider exclusive dedication to and advocacy of any particular religion, as either the best or the only true way, an almost irreligious arrogance. Yet my work and my life are fully concerned with religion, and the mystery of being is my supreme fascination, though, as a shameless mystic, I am more interested in religion as feeling and experience than as conception and theory.

The concerns of ethics and morality occupy a subordinate, though still important, place in my preoccupations, since it has long seemed to me that basic religion is beyond good and evil—indeed, beyond any choice between things which may be regarded as opposites. All such opposites define one another mutually in such a way that there can be no final choice between them. To be is, or implies, not to be, and what interests me is the field, the continuum, in which these opposites are poles. Supralogical experiences of this continuum have arisen in the human mind in all times and places, and will doubtless continue to arise no matter what creeds or opinions prevail, and no matter what forms of reasoning may be used to dub them meaningless or mad. To me they are as natural and perennial as anything else—such as electricity—which cannot be defined but which is nevertheless most definitely felt.

When it comes to the forms and styles of religion, I have, of course, purely personal tastes and prejudices—as in art and music. Prayer, for example, is alien to me, but as I have said, I love to meditate either informally or in the way of Zen, or of Tibetan *zog-chen*, or in the manner of mantra yoga, which employs the contemplation of sound, produced by the voice or by such instruments as gongs. Thus at the mountain cottage where I am now writing, I have installed a gonglike bell made from a large oxygen tank and set into vibration by a swinging trunk of eucalyptus wood, suspended on ropes, and it sounds like those immense bronze bells of the Buddhist temples in Japan, which hum along the ground instead of clanging out through the sky. It reminds me of the great bell of Nanzenji in Kyoto, to which I have often listened at four in the morning—when the monks begin meditation—sounding about once every minute.

If I am asked to define my personal tastes in religion I must say that they lie between Mahayana Buddhism and Taoism, with a certain leaning toward Vedanta and Catholicism, or rather the Orthodox Church of Eastern Europe. The Russian Cathedral in Paris is, for me, one of the most joyous shrines in the world for its combination of gold-glorious ritual, angelic *a capella* music, and dignified informality. In the middle of a two-and-a-half-hour morning liturgy you can go out for a smoke or to the vodka and caviar shop across the street, and come back refreshed for declaring the glory of God, kissing an icon, holding a candle, or just wandering about among friends in the standing congregation. But I am still more at home in the serene and nonmilitant atmosphere of such Buddhist sanctuaries as Koya-san and Chion-in where the deep and sonorous chant is measured by the easy pulse of a wooden drum, where pines and maples stand beyond the open screens, and the smoke of aloeswood hangs in the air.

For me, this rich and venerable tradition of Mahayana Buddhism, touched with the nature-wisdom of Taoism, has seemed one of the most civilizing and humanizing and generally amiable movements in all history. Its humane and compassionate attitudes, its tolerance of many views, and its incomparable expressions in architecture, sculpture, painting, and literature arise—most paradoxically for Westerners—from *prajña*, a way of experiencing ultimate reality so unencumbered with concepts and propositions that

of uniform ticky-tacky homes erected by Mr. Doelger under the constant fog of southwestern San Francisco. Not a shop, not a pub, not a bus stop, not a restaurant for miles and miles. Only seemingly empty dormitories, isolated even at night, with nothing but the dull-blue television screen showing through their darkened windows. In the United States you may not even take a walk in such areas without being stopped by the police on suspicion of being a thief or vagrant, that is, for not subscribing to the club of General Motors, since all the best thieves approach their objectives in a Cadillac. If you want to walk, take a dog with you, giving you the excuse that you are exercising your dog. Or put on shorts and a T-shirt and go “jogging”: a style of flat-footed running which jolts the bones, jangles the nerves, and is supposed to turn you into a real man.

My parents had the good taste to buy a cottage on a rural lane, with bushes of wild roses, honeysuckle, and belladonna plants under the shade of sycamore and copper beech trees. My mother would have no truck with the usual suburban decor—except upstairs. From her sensitivity to Oriental design, lilt, and color she became a master embroidress, and later worked with Charles Johnson, architect and designer, in a project to remodel the chapel of Saint Hugh’s School. He was the brother of the two headmasters of the school, the Reverend Frederick Johnson (the only preacher in the area who could hold my interest) and Mr. Alfred Johnson (a brilliant teacher of literature and music).

But Charles was out of another world. He was reputed to be vaguely insane, to have read books on mysticism, to have taken obscure drugs, and thus to have to be constantly accompanied by a male nurse disguised as a butler and chauffeur. He had lived for many years in Mexico, presumably as a remittance man, but returned to England during the last days of my schooling at Saint Hugh’s to build a delightful Spanish-Mexican house on the outskirts of the school grounds. He was a plump, grey-haired, fastidious, and urbane fellow, utterly removed from the crickety-militaristic atmosphere of his brothers’ school. He introduced me to a proper way of drinking tea—unmilked, weak, and with a thin slice of lemon, as distinct from the frightful English practice of drinking it so strong that a spoon will stand up in it, and polluted with milk and sugar.

Charles collaborated with my mother in making the reredos and altar cloths for our school chapel in High Renaissance style, and I had the privilege of watching this meticulous work and giving occasional assistance. He worked at the general designing and wood carving, and my mother at the appliqué and embroidery panels, which comprised not only icons of Saint Augustine and Saint Hugh, but also fleurs-de-lys, ferns, and bluebirds, all on a green and gold background. Charles could give me more education in five minutes than his brothers in five years—simply by his attitude and his pleasure in his work—and this is saying a great deal, because Alfred was in many ways the ideal schoolmaster. But Charles wasn't trying to *teach*; he was simply following his own weird and allowing me to watch while my mother worked along with him.

His sister, Elvira, was a handsome, witty, and equally sophisticated woman married to my principal childhood guru, Francis Croshaw, who was likewise reputed to be crazy, and as born of a mentally “tainted” ancestry—the story being that his father, a wealthy baron of Chislehurst, had once ordered five grand pianos to be delivered on the same day. Their son, Ivan, who in those days was in a somewhat compulsively scientific frame of mind, was nevertheless one of my constant companions. He went to the same school, Saint Hugh's, and we shared a delight in fabricating nonsense, in which his mother Elvira would frequently join. We bandied such burbles as

Iheeyeeic Paragua  
 Married a jaguar  
 Who came from Aconcagua,  
 And said, “O what a fag you are.”  
 You may telephone from here.

At breakfast, his father would give names to all the eggs—Pleen, Sandom, Paradiddle, and Transom—and ask us to take our choice.

Elvira was known to her friends as Vera and to her son as Pom (Poor Old Mother). Some mother. She controlled us simply by casting an influence. I never saw her punish her son, nor lose her temper. Her secret was a phenomenal sense of humor which somehow concentrated itself on the tip of a rather long and amusingly pointed nose, from which she would suffuse the

atmosphere with her particular kind of giggles. On the important social art of facial composure, she explained that to maintain a reserved and dignified appearance you should make your whole face say “Brush,” and that if you wanted to appear genial and friendly you should make it say “Beesom,” with a big smile. Pom’s atmosphere was also glamorous, though not exactly in the sexy sense of the word for she was handsome and stylish rather than pretty, and her style was Continental and Parisian. She spoke exquisite French, and intrigued me with her cosmopolitan tastes in the arts and in her ordering of the household. Bedrooms, for example, were places for lounging and reading, not utilitarian compartments for the hygienic duty of sleep. I cannot remember any adult with whom I felt so completely at ease, and she, if anyone, is responsible for my adoption of what is sometimes called an un-English style of life. For me she became the archetypal representative of relaxed, urbane society seasoned with wit and fantasy—which is what I would like to be understood by the word “civilization.”

Francis Croshaw was a vague and wealthy man of uncertain behavior. He would take off for London for the day in his two-seater Chrysler and not return for a week, explaining that it had suddenly occurred to him to visit Wales. He smoked black stogie cigars from Burma—with which he always kept me plentifully supplied—the kind that are open at both ends, nubblly in texture, and burn with a blue flame and hiss. He would wander about in a decrepit Moorish dressing gown known as the “Moorish piecey,” and carry a dog whip though he had no dog. He would sit in his car on sunny afternoons reading paperbound French novels. He had two homes, the first a spacious tapestried house near Chislehurst, and the second a pleasant clapboard bungalow with verandas, by the sand dunes and the sea just to the south of Rye—a city of cottage-shops on a solitary hill, topped with an ancient church in which we once listened to the rector talking about God’s purpose for the world, for you, and for me, without ever saying exactly what it was.

The first thing that Francis Croshaw did for me was to release me from the boiled-beef culture of England and let me realize that I was at least a European. In 1929 he took me with his family to France, via Jersey, sat me down in a café in Saint-Malo, and bought me my first drink. He, by the way, drank immense quantities of wine—much of it Moulin à Vent—and would toss

down a whole glass, and then, with a haughty sniff, gaze up into the air in a gesture of total detachment from things of this earth. He carried a red Moroccan wallet, as I myself do to this day, and one of those carved and brightly colored Mexican walking sticks.

Saint-Malo was then a solidly walled medieval city, centered about a plaza filled with open-air cafés. The very moment we stepped off the boat from Jersey I felt a vivacious, sunny human atmosphere that was quite new to me and luminously exhilarating. Within an hour we were eating melons, artichokes, *pâté maison*, and *coq au vin* at the Hotel Chateaubriand, and it suddenly dawned upon me that eating could be an art. He took us to Mont Saint-Michel, to the horse races, to a sumptuous restaurant on the beach at Dinard, to Saint-Jacut where we drank an intoxicating bitter cider looking like orange juice—and then on to Bordeaux, where we dined at the Chapon Fin, starting out with *oeufs en gelée*, topped with truffles and based on *pâté de foie gras*. We then went to the sandy and pine forested country of the Landes, by the Bay of Biscay, to stay at the Basque-style Hôtel du Lac at Hossegor, just North of Capbreton, which afforded us at least two weeks on an all-out gourmet binge. He took us to a bullfight in Bayonne, to the casino at Biarritz, and then on to a small village in the Pyrenees, Saar, where people were assembling from all the surrounding villages to watch a game of pelota. A party arrived in a pick-up truck, parked in the plaza, and brought out a large basket of bread, bottles of wine, and cheese, around which they all joined hands and danced; with a solo funnyman wearing a short black cloak, who capered about them and, with his cloak rising into the air, became an animated mushroom. Francis exclaimed, “Just look at that! You couldn’t possibly see such a sight in England.” *Certaine gaieté d’esprit*.

I came back from this adventure feeling like an adult. From then on the curriculum, the sports, and the ideals of King’s School, Canterbury, seemed, with some few exceptions, to be futile, infantile, and irrelevant. And then one day in Goulden’s bookshop I came upon Lafcadio Hearn’s *Glimpses of Unfamiliar Japan*. I bought it because it comprised a chapter on ghosts, imagining that Japanese ghosts would be the ultimate in refined horror, whereas they turned out to be rather amiable. But the book contained a description of his house and garden in Matsue (which I have since visited four times), and

this, with all his poetical discourse on the various kinds of frogs, insects, and plants, and the art of giving the illusion of a wide landscape in a small space, made the glowing tinder of my interest in Oriental culture suddenly light the fire. I was aesthetically fascinated with a certain clarity, transparency, and spaciousness in Chinese and Japanese art. It seemed to float, whereas most Western art seemed chunky, cluttered, vaguely delineated, dark, and heavy. Apart from illuminated manuscripts, stained glass, and Italian “primitives” it was full of shadows and coarse-looking people, and, as I later discovered, there are no shadows in nature: everything is colored, because the whole material world is basically composed of light.

Quite recently I have looked over many reproductions of Far Eastern masterpieces to identify and put into words the particular view of nature which so captured my imagination. The characteristic first reaction of Westerners to such works is to call them “fantastic” or “stylized,” and they see the roofs more curly, the rocks more jagged, and the eyes more slanted than they actually are. In looking at Chinese writing they see



Yet by the time I was twelve it was precisely the fantastic and outlandish which appealed to me, though as I became familiar with these styles they became almost more “natural” than even the photographic treatments of the West. For one thing, the brush gives a clear and fluid line, neither hard nor scratchy, and there is always enough background space to give full definition to the figure, which in turn is so positioned and related to the space that empty paper comes alive without having to be painted in as water, sky, or mist. This is the Mahayanist and Taoist feeling for pregnant emptiness. For another, these artists paint nature for itself, as its own subject, and not to moralize, illustrate a point, or serve as a background for human affairs, so that birds and grasses are given a marvelous purposelessness and freedom from human plots and schemes. This was the way I felt when I could go off



the problem of whether God the Son, the Second Person of the Trinity, was *homoousios* or *homoiousios*, of the same substance or of similar substance with the Father. They slaughtered women and children and laid fields waste in verbal quarrels about transubstantiationism and antitransubstantiationism, as to whether, at Mass, the bread and wine became the veritable body and blood of Christ—whether they were, rather, consubstantiated in such manner that the Lord’s body and blood became spiritually present—or whether the recelebration of the Lord’s Last Supper was no more than a symbolic reminiscence. As an unabashed pantheist I am naturally a full-blooded transubstantiationist, knowing full well that the ground wheat of bread and the crushed grapes of wine are the body and blood of Christ, the Anointed One, or olive-oiled man who is so slippery that he has no hang-ups. But I’m not going to go to war about it, nor sizzle the testicles of those who don’t agree with me by planting them on bonfires.

I regard my more remote European forefathers who engaged in these quarrels as utterly insane. They were hopelessly confused and hypnotized by their languages, by the crude linear symbolisms wherewith they sought to make “sense” of the world. Contrariwise, such an articulate, amusing, and reasonable atheist as Bertrand Russell was also hypnotized with words—with endless talk about talk—with making, as the French say, *précises* about this and that—all of which is an intellectual game of chess having very little to do with the realities of nature—until it came, of course, to his truly heroic and responsible protests against sexual proprietism and atomic bombs, for which he was barred from Columbia University and thrown into jail in England.

Notions of God, of the ultimate reality or the ground of being, must necessarily be vague—for the simple reason that, just as we cannot bite our teeth, we cannot make the energy that we ourselves *are* a precisely defined object. Verbal definitions of God in the form of creeds, dogmas, and doctrines are far more dangerous idols than statues made of wood, stone, or gold, because they have the deceptive appearance of being more “spiritual,” and because a creedally formulated God has been *reduced* to words, and is no longer experienced immediately, like clear water or blue sky. This is why Christians have lost all magical powers except those of a false anointment, or

establishment that was full of mystery. It wasn't just the Oriental art and the smell of pine or sandalwood incense. It was also that, on and off, they were visited by enigmatic and astonishing people such as Tai-hsü (patriarch of Chinese Buddhism), Nicholas Roerich (Russian artist and Buddhist), G. P. Malalasekera (Buddhist scholar and diplomat of Ceylon, who is probably the most reasonable man in the world), Alice Bailey (an updated Blavatsky), and, above all, Daisetsu Teitaro Suzuki, unofficial lay master of Zen Buddhism, humorous offbeat scholar, and about the most gentle and enlightened person I have ever known; for he combined the most complex learning with utter simplicity. He was versed in Japanese, English, Chinese, Sanskrit, Tibetan, French, Pali, and German, but while attending a meeting of the Buddhist Lodge he would play with a kitten, looking right into its Buddha-nature.

Toby introduced me to the writings of Suzuki in 1930, though I didn't meet the man himself until he came to London in 1936 for the World Congress of Faiths, at which time I had become the editor of *Buddhism in England*. Suzuki fascinated me because he told endless *mondo*, or Zen stories, in which people who asked "What is the basic principle of Buddhism?" were given such answers as "The cypress tree in the garden" or "Three pounds of flax," and thereupon attained instant enlightenment and liberation from the problems of birth-and-death, instead of having to practice intense concentration on the neuropsychic centers (or *chakras*) in their spinal cords throughout fifteen incarnations. At this time (1930) I was also reading Swami Vivekananda's *Raja Yoga*, and making preliminary experiments in *pranayama*, or control of the breath, so as to discover that what is at once voluntary and involuntary, what you do and what happens to you, is all one process, all *karma* of which the real meaning is not cause-and-effect but simply doing, action, or energy. When something "happens" to you, be it tragic or comic, hideous or delightful, the Hindus and Buddhists say it's your *karma*—which doesn't mean punishment or reward, as if someone were keeping books on you, but simply your own doing.

I was thus moving from the ideal of Christian love to that of Buddhist wisdom, from *agape* to *bodhi*. I didn't like Christian love as I saw it exemplified in the lives of those who preached it. They were always going to war with other people to save them. They believed that suffering was "good for

# INDEX

Page numbers in *italic* type refer to illustrations.

- Aaronson, Bernard, 337  
*Abandonment of the Divine Providence* (de Caussade), 184  
abstinence, 210–11, 225–26, 344  
Adam and Eve myth, 21  
Adams, Richard, 177, 180  
*Addressed to Christians* (Ross), 224  
Adler, Alfred, 105  
adults, irrationality of, 33–34, 37, 307  
African Americans, 208  
*agape* (Christian love), 75–76  
*agnosia* (“unknowing”), 167  
Agron, Michael, 324  
Ahn (French teacher), 87–88  
Aiyar, C. P. Ramaswamy, 233, 234–35  
*alaya-vijñāna*, 71, 319  
alcohol, 210–11, 350  
Alexeeva, Olga, 79–80  
algebra, 87  
Allen, Laverne, 281  
Alpert, Richard, 294, 328, 330, 331, 332–33, 337  
American Academy of Asian Studies (San Francisco, CA), 266  
    conflicting objectives of, 232–34, 251–52, 261–62  
    faculty colloquia at, 234–38  
    faculty of, 233, 256–58  
    guest lecturers/faculty at, 260–61  
    problem of self discussed at, 234, 235–38  
    San Francisco Asian communities and, 254–55  
    Spiegelberg as designer of, 110  
    students at, 253, 260  
    teaching methodology at, 259–61  
    as transitional institution, 258–59  
    Watts as director of, 252–62  
    Watts as faculty member of, 110, 224, 231  
    Watts leaves, 262  
*American Handbook of Psychiatry, The*, 335  
American Oriental Society, 135  
American Psychiatric Association, 333–34  
Amerindians, 37, 208, 244, 285  
Ames, Adelbert, 318  
Anagarika Govinda, Lama, 304, 337  
anchorites, 230  
Andrews, Joel, 165  
Andrews, Oliver, 109, 352  
Anglo-Catholicism, 157, 158–59  
animism, 267  
antinomianism, 198  
anxiety, money and, 73  
apocryphal literature, 166  
*Apologia Pro Vita Sua* (Newman), 5  
archery, 18–19  
Arnold, Edwin, 247  
Arques, Don, 241  
*Art and Illusion* (Gombrich), 221  
Arthur, Chester Alan (Gavin), III, 266–67, 290–91, 292, 301  
artificial naturalness, 208–9  
*Art of Indian Asia, The* (Zimmer), 216  
asceticism, 225–26, 344  
Ashby, Thaddeus, 21–22  
Ashton-Gwatkin, Frank, 57  
Ashton-Gwatkin, Trelawney, 55–57  
*Asia* magazine, 142  
aspidistras, 64  
astral bodies, 141  
astrology, 9n 3, 291  
astronomy, 84  
Athanasius, Saint, 166  
atheism, 110  
Athos, Mount, 97  
*atman* (self), 90, 227  
Auchincloss, Douglas, 190  
Augustine, Saint, 5, 53  
Augustine of Canterbury, Saint, 93  
Augustinians, 143  
autobiography, purpose of, 5  
automatism, 240  
Avatars, 203  
Ayres, Lew, 298

- babies, consciousness of, 307, 309
- Bahai, 238
- Bailey, Alice, [75](#), 104–5
- Baker, Fred, 126
- Baker, Lillian, 139
- Balzer, Robert, 297
- Bankei, 134, 255, 255n6, 256, 257–58
- barbarism, 244–45
- Barrett, John, 216, 219
- Bass, Betty, 109
- bastards, [21n1](#)
- Bateson, Gregory, 192, 320
  - double bind theory of, [259n7](#), 314–15
  - musical notation and, 85
  - schizophrenia theory of, 315, 315n1
  - teaching methodology of, 259
- Bath Bian Street (imaginary island kingdom), [40](#), [41–42](#)
- Baumer, Miss (nanny), [34](#)
- Baxter, Jacqueline, 177–79
- Baynes, H. G., 314
- Beat Generation, 248, 253, 270, 291–92
- Beatles, 294
- bedrooms, 38–39, [68](#)
- beds, [39](#)
- beer, 26–27
- Bell, Bernard Iddings, 185, 199–201
- Bell, G. K. A., [55](#)
- Belloc, Hilaire, [58](#)
- Belsen, Jordan, 296
- Bendetti (Basel professor), 320
- benjo* (Japanese crapatorium), 31–33
- Bennett, John, 83
- Berdyaev, Nicolas, 112–13, 166
- Berkeley (CA), [24](#), 232
- Bernard, De Vries, 119
- Bernard, Pierre, 119–20, [120n3](#)
- Bernard, Theos, 157
- Besant, Annie, 111
- Betjemen, John, 11, [38](#), [272](#)
- Betty (girlfriend), 122–23
- Beverly Hills (CA), 323–24
- Bhagavad-Gita*, 74
- bhakti* (devotional type), 161
- Bible, 74, 156, 192
- Bickersteth (canon), [54](#)
- big bang theory, 357
- Big Sur (CA), 229–31, 232, 253, 281–86, 297
- Birley, Norman Pellew, 93
- Bishopsbourne, 55–56
- Blavatsky, Helen Petrovna, 74, 102, 104
- Block, Marguerite, 142–43
- Blyth, R. H., 248n1
- Blyth, Samuel, 16–18
- boarding school, [42](#)
- bodhi*, [75](#)
- Bodhidharma, 209, 249
- Bodhisatvas, [8](#), 203
- body, 109, 141
- bohemians, 174, 241, 242, 282
- Bollingen (Switzerland), 216
- Bollingen Foundation, [215–16](#), 283
- Bollingen Series, 220–21
- Bonaventure, Saint, 90
- bonseki* (appreciation of natural rocks), 257
- Boodberg, P. A., 232
- Book of Signs* (Koch), 220
- Boss, Medard, 318, 320
- Boult, Adrian, [53](#), 163
- bourgeoisie, 241, 242
- Brahman, 71, 217, 218, 227, 235
- brain, 191–92
- Brand, Renée, 278, 279
- Brando, Marlon, 298
- bravery, 344
- Brazilian soccer team (1970), 89
- Bremen* (ship), 125, 126–27
- British Empire, [26](#), [54](#), [77](#), 88, 129
- British Mystical Expatriates of Southern California, 167–68
- Brooks, Charles, 280, 288, 293
- Brooks' Club (London), 57
- Broughton, James, 270–73, 288, 290
- Broughton, Suzanna, 272–73
- Brown, G. Spencer, 249, 311, 338
- Brown, Jack, 297
- Brown, Norman O., 311, 338
- Bruce, John, 159
- Bruner, Jerome, 328
- Brunton, Paul, 104–5
- Buber, Martin, 169
- Buchan, Emily Mary. *See* Watts, Emily Mary
- Buchan, Ethel (“Auntie Et”), 49, 76
- Buchan, Gertrude (“Auntie Gertie”), 39–40, 76
- Buchan, Harry (“Uncle Harry”), 49–52
- Buchan, Joyce, 50
- Buchman, Frank, 123
- Buddha, [46](#)
  - Great Sun Buddha, 354
  - images of, [25](#)
  - Jesus vs., 53n2
  - realization of, 355
  - symbols for, [53](#)
  - wenching/boozing as viewed by, 77
- “Buddha Land: A Zen Spiritual” (Broughton), 271
- Buddha-nature, 71
- Buddhism
  - as anthropological phenomenon, 248
  - chanting in, 354
  - Christianity understood through, 148–49
  - Christianity vs., 53n2, 77, 169
  - double bind in, 315

- emptiness in, 348  
 faith vs. works in, 143–44  
 future histories and, 254  
 God's search for man in, 203  
 Japanese, [38](#), [62](#)  
*karma* in, 355  
 Kipling and, 23–24  
 Mahayana, 62–63, 114–15, 167, 185  
*mani padma* image in, [30](#)  
*nirvana*, 283–84  
 not a religion, [60](#)  
 nothingness in, 354, 356–59, 361–62, 364–65  
 ritual in, 343  
*samsara*, 153  
 San Francisco Asian communities and, 254–55  
 self in, 235  
 Shingon, 353–55  
 Tibetan, 122, 353  
 Watts formally affiliates with, 59–60, 78  
 Watts's Christian conversion and, 152  
 Watts's refuge in, [58](#), [73–78](#)  
 Watts's study of, 248–51, 353  
*See also* Zen Buddhism
- Buddhism in England* (magazine), 70, [75](#)  
 Buddhist Lodge (London)  
   Eleanor attends meetings of, 124  
   founding of, 74  
   Humphreys and, 70, 73, 75, 107  
   journal of, 99, 107, 110, 116  
   Watts as member of, 101, 124  
   Watts's father as treasurer of, 101
- Budge, Suzie, 126  
 Bulgakov, Mikhail Afanasievich, 166  
 Bunnell, Sterling, 324  
 Buonaiuti, Ernesto, 118  
 Burrow, Trigant, 105–6
- Cage, John, 218–19, 248  
 California Academy of Asian Studies, 262  
*See also* American Academy of Asian Studies  
 California, 25–26, 154, 208, 285–86  
*See also* Big Sur; Los Angeles; San Francisco;  
*specific place*
- calligraphy, 63, 162, 240, 256, 257, 344–45  
 Cambridge (MA), Coomaraswamy at, 215  
 Cambridge University, 294, 316–17, 316n2  
 Campbell, Joseph, 215–18, 219, 220–21  
 Camus, Albert, [5](#)  
 Canterbury (England), 93  
 Canterbury Cathedral  
   Bell Harry Tower, 78  
   clergy at, 53–57  
   corona of, 52–53, 77  
   sermons at, 53–55  
   Watts's childhood memories of, [51](#)  
   Watts's confirmation at, 58–59
- Canterbury House (Evanston, IL), 175, 176–77, 178, 189  
 capital punishment, 95  
 Carmel (CA), 156, 232, 297  
 Carroll, Lewis, 212  
 Catholic Church  
   bastards in, [21n1](#)  
   catholicity in, 360  
   literal historicity in, 156  
   as means, 154, 156  
   meditation methods of, 114n2  
   verbosity of, 47–48  
   vernacular mass in, 47n1  
   visions and, 146
- Caussade, J. P. de, 184  
 celibacy, religious, 122, 187  
 Celts, [25](#)  
*chakras*, 228  
 chamber pots, 64  
*cha-no-yu* (tea ceremony), 257, 344, 350–51  
 chanting, 250–51, 292–93, 354  
 Chapel of Saint George (Windsor), [52](#)  
 Chase, Janice, 179  
 chastity, 344  
 Chaudhuri, Haridas, 233, 235, 262  
 Chen, Shih-hsiang, 253  
 Chesterton, G. K., [58](#), 163, 212–13  
 Chettle (school headmaster), [17](#)  
 Chicago (IL)  
   Church in, 154  
   commercialization in, 163–65  
   Watts moves to, 160–62  
   Watts's internal compass and, [25](#)  
   Watts's residence in, 162–63  
*See also* Northwestern University; Seabury-  
 Western Theological Seminary; Watts,  
 Alan, as Episcopalian priest
- Chicago Review*, 291  
 Chicago Symphony, 163  
 Chiddingly (Sussex, England), 231  
 children  
   afternoon rests and, [37](#)  
   schizophrenic, 315  
   social attitudes toward, 133, 311–12  
   wisdom of, 307, 309
- China, [37](#), 63–64, 134n1  
 Chinese art, 69–70, 257  
 Chinese language, 81, 93n2  
 Chion-in (Kyoto, Japan), [62](#), 341  
 Chisholm, Hugh, 229  
 Chislehurst (Kent, England)  
   description of, 11–13, [15](#), 22–23  
   meaning of name, 11  
   Watts born in, [9](#)  
   Watts's return visits to, [11](#), 316  
*See also* Rowan Tree Cottage

- Chislehurst Station, [23](#)
- Chögyam Trungpa, Lama. *See* Trungpa, Chögyam
- Christ. *See* Jesus
- Christ Church (Chislehurst, England), [7](#), 48–49
- Christ Church College, 118
- Christianity
  - bastards in, [21n1](#)
  - beauty of, 77
  - British Empire and, 77
  - Buddhism as key to inner meaning of, 148–49
  - Buddhism vs., [53n2](#), 77, 169
  - celibacy in, [122n4](#)
  - conceit of, 224
  - depthlessness of, 77
  - as disease, [45](#)
  - ego in, 236
  - faith vs. works in, 143, 227
  - future histories and, 254
  - God in, 70–73
  - heaven/hell in, 35–36, 283
  - literal historicity and, 185–86
  - love in, 75–76
  - nature-mystics, 283
  - persecution in, 309
  - prayer in, 139, 181–83
  - preaching style in, [294n1](#)
  - “religionless,” 148
  - Watts converts to, 146–52
  - Watts’s aversion to, 75–77
- Christian Science Church, 59n4
- Christine (childhood friend), [15](#)
- Chuang-tzu, 128–29, 360
- Ch’ung-yung*, 144
- churches
  - American midwestern, 166
  - failure to satisfy spiritual needs, 258
  - mysticism and, 174–75
- Church of England
  - Catholic Church and, [47](#)
  - clergy in, 53–57
  - eccentricity tolerated by, 78
  - grim atmosphere of, 57–58
  - heraldic style of, 52–53
  - history study as propaganda for, 88
  - obsolescence of, [54](#)
  - religious services in, 48–49
  - sermons in, 53–55
  - sexual misinformation in, [58](#)
  - Watts ordained in, [38](#)
  - Watts’s confirmation in, 58–59
- Church of Saint Mary the Virgin (New York, NY), 150–51
- church schools, 113–14
- Church Times*, 117
- Clark, Anna, 157
- Clarke, Arthur, 267
- Clear School of poetry, 268–69, 270–73
- Cleaver, Eldridge, 252
- clergy
  - at Canterbury Cathedral, 53–57
  - Oriental holy men similar to, 218
- collective illusion, 147n3
- collective unconscious, 149, 313
- College of the Pacific (Stockton, CA), 258, 261, 262
- Columbia Review of Religion*, 142–43, 144
- Columbia University, 110, 294
- commercialization, 163–65
- Common Market, 107
- Confessions* (Rousseau), [5](#)
- Confessions* (St. Augustine), [5](#)
- Conkling, Wallace Edmonds, 160–61, 174, 175, 190, 191, 205–6
- constipation, [33](#)
- “controlled accident,” art of, 256–57
- Coomaraswamy, Ananda, 185, 203, 214–15
- Coomaraswamy, Luisa, 214–15
- Cornell University, 294
- Corsa, Jim, 142
- Cosmoi, M. M. *See* Mitrinović, Dmitrije
- Costello, Ruth, 302–3
- Counter-Reformation, 167
- crapatoriums, 31–33
- Craven, Arnold, 159
- Creed of Buddha, The* (Holmes), 70
- Crew, Janet, 282
- Croshaw, Elvira, 66–67, 91
- Croshaw, Francis, [66](#), 67–68, 70, 73, 91
- Croshaw, Ivan, [66](#)
- Cross, the, 169
- Crowley, Aleister, 104–5
- Crum (canon), [54](#)
- Crusades, [45](#)
- cultural renewal, 247
- curry recipe, 94
- Dahlenberg, Claude, 253
- Daibutsu (Kamakura, Japan), [25](#), 63
- Dainichi Nyorai (Buddha of the Sun), 354
- Daitokuji (Kyoto, Japan), 348, 351, 363–64
- Dallas Morning News*, 142
- dancing, 118–19, 250–51
- Davidson, Randall, 93
- Davies, Walford, 79
- Dawn, Jack (pseud.), 284–85
- Dawson (canon), [48](#), 77
- death, 307
- debate, 95–96
- Delgado, Vincent, 296
- Denison, Henry, 223–24, 293, 297–98
- Denison, Ruth, 223–24, 298–99
- Denison, Virginia, 119, 293, 298–99

- DeWitt, Dorothy, 190–91  
*Dharma Bums* (Kerouac), 248, 253, 291  
 Dharmawara, Thera, 261  
 Dicks, Henry, 74  
 Dillinger, John, 344  
 Dionysius, Saint, 167, 167n2  
 Diskul, Poon Pismai, 233  
 DiSuvero, Henrietta, 302–3  
 DiSuvero, Victor, 302  
 Ditman, Keith, 323  
 Dix, Gregory, [58](#)  
 Doffles, 49  
 Dōgen (Zen master), 131, 249  
 Donegan, James, 150  
*Doors of Perception* (Huxley), 322  
 double bind, [259n7](#), 314–15  
 Douglas (friend), 123–24  
 Drake, Francis, [59](#), 59n5, 242  
 drugs. *See* psychedelic drugs; *specific drug*  
 dualism, 168  
 Dunn, Mr., 49  
 Dürckheim, Karlfried von, 321  
 Dylan, Bob, 294
- E., Miss (mathematics teacher), 83–84  
 Earle, William, 165  
 Eastern Orthodox Church, 278n2  
 “Eastern Wisdom and Modern Life” (NET series), 294  
 eccentricity, 78, 91–92  
 eclecticism, 202  
 ecology, 236, 263  
 Edgerton-Jones (history/political science teacher), 96–97  
 education  
   ideal curriculum, 92–93  
   as indoctrination, [34](#), 88, 92  
   *See also* schools, British
- Edward VIII, [53](#)
- ego  
   as illusion, [46](#), [217](#)  
   Jungian view of, 312–13  
   planetary disaster and, 234  
   Skinner’s view of, 329  
   strength of personality and, 263–64  
   transcendence of, 235–38  
   Zen view of, 315  
   *See also* self
- egoism, 225, 263–64  
 ego-personality, 105–6, 264  
 egotism, 45–46  
 Eisenhower, Dwight D., 251  
*Eleventh Hour, The* (magazine), 105, 107  
 Eliade, Mircea, 328  
 Eliot, Charles, 247  
 Eliot, T. S., 90, 231  
 Ellis, Havelock, 310, 311
- Elsberg, Hilde, 224–25, 260, 276–77, 278, 279, 280  
 Emerson, Ralph Waldo, 250  
 Emmeneau, Murray, 232  
 employment, regular, 37–38  
 emptiness, 348–49  
 encounter groups, 106, 208–9, 238  
 English language, 81  
 English literature, 81–82  
 entomology, [16](#), 17–18  
 Episcopal Church  
   Anglo-Catholic minority in, 158–59, 165  
   bourgeois membership of, 174  
   Canon Law in, 160, 201  
   clergy in, 159  
   excommunication in, 197  
   “lay popes” in, 165  
   marriage laws of, 197  
   as moralistic, 196–97  
   ritual in, 151–52, 159–60  
   spiritual authority of, 193–96  
   Watts joins, 149–52  
   Watts leaves, 193–206  
   *See also* Watts, Alan, as Episcopalian priest
- epistemology, 336, 361  
 Erdman, Jean, 215, 218, 219  
 Erikson, Erik, 309  
 Erving, Alice, 297  
 Esalen Institute (CA), 285, 293  
   courses available at, 230  
   founding of, 109, 253, 281  
   as “growth center,” 258  
   location of, 230  
   Watts seminars at, 281
- eternal now. *See* present moment  
 ethics, [61](#)
- Eugene (OR), 232  
 Evans, Bergen, 175  
 Evanston (IL), 162–63, 295  
   *See also* Northwestern University; Seabury-  
   Western Theological Seminary; Watts,  
   Alan, as Episcopalian priest
- Everett, Edward Warren, 119, 126  
 Everett, Eleanor. *See* Watts, Eleanor  
 Everett, Ruth Fuller. *See* Sasaki, Ruth Fuller  
 Everett, Walker, 126  
 Exman, Eugene, 142, 148  
*ex opere operato* doctrine, 152n4
- Fairfax (CA), 289  
 faith, 193  
   works vs., 225
- fascism, 279  
 Fassett, Bill and Loly, 281–82  
 Feng, Gia-fu, 338  
 Ferdinand VII (king of Spain), [33](#)

imperialism, [45](#), [247](#), 258

India

- Gandhi's nonviolence in, 129
- higher education system in, 235
- identification with God in, 309–10
- modern, Campbell and, 218
- music of, 30–31, 296–97

Indian philosophy, Sanskrit knowledge and, 215n1

industrial culture, 242, 304, 311–12, 351–52

Inquisition, [45](#)

interconnectedness, 84, 104, 120, 346–47

Irenaeus, Saint, 166

Ise (Japan), 348

Isherwood, Christopher, 167–68, 223, 226

Ishmael (Everetts' chauffeur), 126

Islam, [45](#), [283](#), 309, 350

Italian Chancery Script, 107

Izumo (Japan), 348–49

Jacobs, Henry ("Sandy"), 295–96

Jacobs, Sumire, 296

Jacobson, Margaret, 179

James, William, 313

Janiger, Oscar, 299

Jano (girlfriend), 284, 290

- description of, 288–89
- Huxley and, 156
- Over Sixty Club and, 282
- on psychoanalysis, 278
- on Varda's ferryboat, 293, 300, 304
- Watts falls in love with, 288–89
- Watts's travels with, 322, 362

Japan

- attitude toward alcohol in, 350
- Buddhism in, [38](#), [62](#)
- children's behavior in, [37](#)
- crapatoriums in, 31–33
- education in, 81
- emperor of, [7](#)
- temples in, 357–8
- Watts in, 339
- Watts's affinity for, 63, 68–69, 247
- in Zen history, 134n1
- Zen monasteries in, 113–14, 115
- See also* Kyoto; *specific place*

Japanese art, 69–70, 120, 257

Japanese language, 81

Jenkins, Louisa, 229–30, 283–84, 347

Jenkins (canon), [54](#)

Jesus, [46](#), 125

- Buddha vs., 53n2
- Eleanor's vision of, 145–46
- as God's search for man, 203–4
- as healer, 159
- as homosexual, 122n4

literal historicity and, 156, 185–86

persecution of, 309

Sermon on the Mount, 110, 184

spiritual growth and, 194

jewels, 30–31, 114–15

Jews, 132

*ji-ji-mu-ge* (interconnectedness), 104, 120, 347

*jinko* (aloewood incense), 354

*jiriki* (self-power), 143–44

*jivatman* (individual self), 235

*jñana* yogis, 236, 360–61

Job, problem of, 212–13

Jodo Shinshu, 143–44

John, Book of, [35](#), 36n6

John (apostle), 122n4

John of Damascus, Saint, 166

Johnson, Alfred, [65](#), 89, 91

Johnson, Charles, 65–66, 91

Johnson, Edward, 126

Johnson, Frederick, [65](#)

Johnson, Hewlett, [55](#)

Johnson, John, 71

Johnson, Leo, 233, 237, 252

Jonas, Oswald, 85

Jones, E. Stanley, 201–5

Judaism, [45](#), [283](#), 294n1, 309

judo, 107–8

Julian of Norwich, 137, 283, 284

Julien, Stanislas, 105

Jung, Carl Gustav, 279

- Bollingen Series and, 220
- country retreat of, 216
- ego and consciousness in, 312–13
- Elsberg influenced by, 278
- Henderson as successor of, 273
- informal seminar style of, 295
- on life as disease, 210
- Oakes influenced by, 283
- as scientist, 334
- Watts influenced by, 116, 310, 313
- Watts meets, 116, 314, 318–21
- yin* as viewed by, 313

Jungian Analytical Psychology Club, 138

Jung Institute (Zürich), 294, 315, 317–18

*ju-no-kata*, 107–8

Kabir, [46](#)

Kali Yuga, 193

Kamakura Daibutsu, [25](#), 63

Kankanapa (Indian king), [31](#)

*Kansas City Star*, 289

*karma*, [75](#), 355

Kato, Kazumitsu, 255

Kelley, Alden, 176

*kendo*, 107–8



- Kerouac, Jack, 248, 253, 291  
 Keyserling, Hermann, 321  
*King and the Corpse, The* (Zimmer), 216  
 King's School (Canterbury, England)  
   antiquated methodology at, 97–98  
   description of, 93–95  
   faculty of, 89, 95–97  
   flogging at, 86, 97  
   mores of, 97  
   parental financial burden, 91  
   Watts as student at, 86–87  
   Watts enters, 91, 93  
   Watts leaves, 99  
   Watts's French trip and, [68](#)
- Kipling, Rudyard, 23–24, 247  
 Kitty (kindergarten mate), [13](#)  
 Kline, Franz, 248  
 Kluckhohn, Clyde, 328  
*koan* (Zen problems), 134, 134n1, 142, 143, 249  
 Kobo Daishi, 238  
 Koch, Rudolf, 220  
 Koizumi, Setsu, 116  
 Korean War, 247  
 Korzybski, Alfred, 277, 289  
 Kosygin, Alexey, [7](#)  
 Koya-san (Wakayama, Japan), [62](#), 352–55  
 KPFA (Berkeley, CA), 231, 270  
 KPFFK (Los Angeles, CA), 231  
 KPFT (Houston, TX), 231  
 KQED (San Francisco, CA), 294  
 Kramer (theology professor), 169  
 Krippner, Stanley, 337  
 Krishnamurti, Jiddu, 155  
   as Master, 104–5  
   “nondisciples” of, 225, 226  
   seminars of, 304  
   students of, 297  
   teachings of, 111–12, 124
- ku* (clear void), 356–57  
*kundalini* yoga, 228  
 Kunkel, Fritz, 224–25  
 Küsnacht (Switzerland), 318–21  
 Kwanyin, [8](#)  
 Kyoto (Japan), 253  
   Chion-in, [62](#), 341  
   Daitokuji, 348, 351, 363–64  
   description of, 340–42, 349–50, 351–52  
   Nanzenji, [62](#), 120, 341, 342, 346, 348  
   Ryoanji, 347–48  
   Sanjusangendo, 345–46  
   Shokokuji, 347  
   Watts in, 340–50, 359–62  
   Western fascination for, 340  
   as Zen training center, 340
- Laing, Ronald, 315n1, 337  
 La Jolla (CA), 232  
 Landau, Rom, 113, 233  
 Landowska, Wanda, 118, 151  
 Lang, Cosmo Gordon, [53](#), 54–55  
 Lao-tzu, 105, 107, 115, 348  
 La Paz (Mexico), 303–4  
 Latin, 81, 86–87, 89  
 laughter, [6](#), 57, 213–14  
*Laws of Form* (Spencer), 249  
 Lear, Edward, 212  
 Leary, Timothy, 267, 294, 327–28, 329–32, 337  
*Lectures on Ancient Philosophy* (Hall), 104  
 Lee, Chingwah, 254  
 Lee, Richard, 160  
*Legacy of Asia and Western Man, The* (Watts), 117  
 Leibniz, Gottfried Wilhelm, 137  
 Lemon (Latin teacher), 89  
 Lessing, Ferdinand, 232  
 Lial, Margaret, 281, 282, 297  
 Liegl, Ernst, 163  
*Life Against Death* (Brown), 311  
 Lightfoot (vicar), [48](#)  
 Lilly, John, 192, 320, 337  
 Lines (math/French teacher), 87  
 listening, 364–65  
 Loewenstein, Leopold von, 108  
 London, [25](#), 107–8, 116, 163, 253–54  
   See also Buddhist Lodge  
*Long Undressing, A* (Broughton), 272  
 Los Angeles (CA), 223–28, 232, 297–300, 333–34  
 Lo-t'ung, 351  
 Lovdijeff, Crist, 252–53  
 love, 287  
 Love, Gloria, 177  
 LSD  
   effects of, 137, 324–26  
   Huxley's experimentation with, 322–23, 326  
   Leary and, 294  
   legal repression of, 332  
   mysticism and, 294n1  
   Watts's experimentation with, 120, 323–26, 330
- Luce, Clare Booth, 47n1  
 Lucretius, [60](#)  
 lust, 121, 122
- Macdonald, Alec, 78, 95  
 Macfarlane, Ronald, [25](#), 90–91  
 Macy, James, 299–300  
 Madsen, Douglas, 282  
 magic, 98–99, 141–42, 165, 173, 273  
 Mahavairocana (Buddha of the Sun), 354  
 Mahayana Buddhism, 62–63, 114–15, 167, 185  
 Mahesh Yogi, Maharishi, 293–94  
 Malalasekera, G. P., [75](#), 113, 260

- mandalas, 30, 117
- mani padma* image, 30
- Manning (Episcopalian bishop), 160
- mantra-chanting, 292–93, 354
- mantra yoga, 62
- Marchristal (stream), 15–16
- Margaret (childhood friend), 15
- marijuana, 50, 332
- Marin County (CA), 232, 241–44
- Maritain, Jacques, 167
- marriage, 122, 127, 188–89, 196–97, 287
- Mary Magdalene, Saint, 194
- Maslow, Abraham, 281
- Masters, cult of the, 104–5
- masturbation, 58, 122
- mathematics, 83–84, 87, 137
- Matsu (Chinese master), 134
- Matsue (Japan), 68
- Matthias, Blanche and Russell, 154–55, 156
- maya* (illusion of duality), 318
- Mayne, “Titch,” 51
- Mayo, Gilbert, 106
- McClelland, David, 329, 330–31
- McElwain (bishop), 161–62
- McGuire, William, 221
- Mead, G. R. S., 102
- meditation
- avant-garde music and, 218–19
  - Catholic methods of, 114n2
  - Christian prayer vs., 182
  - churches unsuitable for, 174–75
  - Krishnamurti’s teachings on, 112
  - laughter as, 6
  - self and, 235–36
  - sitting (*zazen*), 80, 115, 134, 248, 248n1
  - tea ceremony and, 351
  - transcendental (TM), 294
  - walking, 183
  - Watts’s return to, 183–85
  - yoga-, 235, 251, 364
  - Zen practices, 115, 225–26, 248, 248n1
  - zogen-chen* style, 46–47, 62
- Meher Baba, 104–5
- Mellon, Paul and Mary, 216
- Memories, Dreams, Reflections* (Jung), 319
- Mencken, H. L., 244
- Menon, Krishna, 217
- Merton, Thomas, 360
- mescaline, 299, 322, 325, 332
- “metaphysical gossip,” 102
- Metman, Philip, 108
- Metzner, Ralph, 328, 338
- Mexico, 37
- Middle Way, The*. See *Buddhism in England*
- military-industrial complex, 251
- Miller, Henry, 229, 282
- Miller, Lepka, 229
- Mill Valley (CA), 232, 240–41, 244, 262
- Mintoft (physics teacher), 87
- miracles, 147
- Mitrinović, Dmitrije, 97
- description of, 103–4
  - as Master, 104–5
  - New Britain movement and, 105–7
  - on Suzuki, 113
  - Wild Woodbines fellowship of, 116–17, 123–24
- Miyamoto Musashi, 252
- mondo* (Zen stories), 75
- money, anxiety and, 73
- Monteux, Pierre, 163
- Moore, Jim and Erica, 297
- Moore, Richard, 231–32, 294
- moralism, 196–97
- morality, 61, 151, 326–27
- Moral Rearmament, 123
- Morimoto (Zen master), 362–63
- Morse, Robert, 180
- Mozart, Wolfgang Amadeus, 95
- mudra* (hand gestures), 159
- Muir Woods (CA), 243, 267–68
- Mullican, Lee, 238–39
- Mundy, Talbot, 104
- munen* (“no-thought”), 362–63
- Murphy, Michael, 253, 281, 337
- Murray, Henry, 328, 331
- Murray, John Grey, 97, 117
- mushin* (“no-mind”), 257, 319
- music
- avant-garde, 218–19, 248
  - Indian, 30–31
  - notation in, 85, 95
  - repetition as essence of, 4
  - spontaneous, 250–51, 288
  - Watts’s education in, 85–86, 91, 95
- mysticism, 165
- Christian, 167, 167n2
  - Christianity as lacking, 77
  - Christian prayer vs., 181–83
  - churches unsuitable for, 174–75
  - idealized visions of, 211
  - nature-, 283–84, 289, 330
  - nonverbal perception and, 102–3
  - psychedelic drugs and, 294n1, 324–25
  - sex and, 225–26
  - strength of personality and, 263–64
  - supernormal powers and, 141–42
  - Watts’s writings as attempt to describe, 5
  - willful vs. non-willful, 143–44
- Mysticism: Christian and Buddhist* (Suzuki), 143–44
- mythology, 313

- Myths and Symbols in Indian Art and Civilization* (Zimmer), 216
- Nagaoka (Japan), 362–63
- Nagarjuna, 237–38, 361–62
- Nanshinken (Zen priest), 120, 121
- Nanzenji (Kyoto, Japan), [62](#), 120, 341, 342, 346, 348
- Naranjo, Claudio, 338
- National Educational Television, 294
- naturalness, artificial, 208–9
- nature
- in Asian art, 69–70
  - linearity and, 306–7
  - mysticism, 283–84, 289, 330
  - philosophy of, in *I Ching*, 136n2
  - psychedelics and, 323
  - social organizations and, 305–6
  - in Watts's childhood garden, 20–23
  - in Western religion, 192
- Nature, Man, and God* (Temple), 79
- Nazism, 105
- Needham, Joseph, 192, 316n2
- Nepenthe restaurant (Big Sur, CA), 281–82
- nervous system, 137, 192
- Nettie (maid), 162
- New Britain* (magazine), 105
- New Britain movement, 105–7
- Newman, John Henry, [3](#)
- New Statesman*, 253–54
- New Testament, 166
- Newton, Isaac, 249
- New York (NY)
- Eleanor's depression/ vision in, 144–46
  - Episcopal churches in, 149–51
  - Watts leaves, 162
  - Watts's flight to, 131
  - Watts's internal compass and, [25](#)
  - Watts's residence in, 132–33, 157, 162
  - Watts's seminars in, 138–39, 288
  - Watt's Zen studies in, 134–37
- New York Times*, 142
- Nicholas, Doris, 83
- Nicholas, Miss (kindergarten teacher), 82–83
- Nicholson, Ronald, 74
- Nielsen, Miss (nanny), [34](#)
- Nietzsche, Friedrich, 96–97
- nihilism, 348
- Nin, Anaïs, 299
- nirvana*, 70, 77, 283–84
- nirvikalpa samadhi*, [47](#)
- Nixon, Richard M., [7](#)
- Nock, Albert Jay, 200
- “no-mind,” 257, 319
- North London, 64
- Northwestern University (Evanston, IL), 166, 253
- School of Music, 175
  - Watts as chaplain at, 127, 175–80, 273
  - Watts interviews at, 161–62
- nothingness, 354, 356–59, 361–62, 364–65
- “no-thought,” 184, 362–63
- Novak, Kim, 282n4
- nuclear weapons, 130
- Oakes, Maud, 229–30, 282–83, 288
- Oakland (CA), [24](#)
- objectivity, 166, 258–59
- Oda, Sesso, 253, 363–64
- Officers' Training Corps, 96, 128
- Ogata, Sohaku, 280–81, 347–48
- Ojai Valley (CA), 131–32, 155, 232, 261, 297
- Okamura, Mihoko, 113
- Om mani padma hum*, [30](#), 77, 292, 354
- Onslow-Ford, Gordon, 110, 238, 239–40, 273, 293
- Onslow-Ford, Jacqueline, 239
- opposites, [61](#)
- Orange Seller, The* (Hunter), 276
- Order of the Star in the East, 111
- Orientalism, 248, 258–59
- Oriental philosophy, 215, 215n1, [294](#)
- Osterman, Elizabeth, 273
- Other Side of the Lantern, The* (Treves), 247
- Ouspensky, P. D., 104–5
- Over Sixty Club, 282–84
- Owings, Nathaniel and Margaret, 281
- Oxford Group Movement, 123
- Pacifica Foundation, 231
- Pacific Ocean, 231
- pacifism, 96, 128–30, 264
- Padwa, David, 359, 360–62
- painting, 162
- Palo Alto (CA), 232
- Palo Alto Veteran's Hospital, 314
- P'ang-yun, 142
- Pannananda, Bikkhu, 261
- pantheism, 170–71
- Pantheon Books, 219–21
- Paradise Garden, [29](#)
- Parish Church (Earl's Court), Watts married in, 127
- Parsifal* (Wagner), [13](#)
- Partington Ridge (CA), 229, 231, 281–84
- past, as illusion, [3](#)
- Patristics, 166
- Paul, Saint, 109
- Pelagians, 143
- Perfidia* (dhow), 300–303
- Perls, Fritz, 281, 285, 337
- petroleum, 73
- Philadelphia (PA), 64

*Philosophies of India* (Zimmer), 216

philosophy

“doing,” 165

foundations for, 304

Oriental, 215, 215n1, 294

as un lucrative profession, 124

writings in, unrelated to experience, 4–5

*Psychoanalysis* (Burrow), 105–6

physical education, 6–7

Piggylics, 49

Pike, James, 161, 185, 337

Platman, Robert, 180

poetry, Clear School of, 268–69, 270–73

polar vision, 325–26

*Politics of Experience* (Laing), 315n1

Pollock, Hugo, 138

polyphony, 175

Pompidou, Georges, 7

Portland (OR), 232

Prabhavananda, Swami, 168, 223, 225–28

*prajña*, 62–63

*pranayama* (breath control), 75

prayers, 34–35, 62, 76–77, 181–83

present moment

as eternal, 3, 140–41, 154

Krishnamurti’s teachings on, 111–12

as only reality, 3, 131–32

as presence of God, 184

*satori* and, 250

Watts’s *satori* on, 124–25

Watts’s childhood experience of, 30–31

Pribam, Karl, 336

Price, Richard, 109, 253, 281

priests

Japanese Buddhist, 347n1

parish vs. priest-at-large, 174–75

sex life of, 186–87, 196–97

shamans vs., 173, 280

spiritual authority of, 195–96

Progress, 125

proselytism, 185

Protestants, 7, 268n2

psilocybin, 325, 327, 332

psychedelic drugs

effects of, 137, 324–26, 330

Leary and, 329–32

legal repression of, 326–27, 332

present moment experienced through, 30

See also LSD; *specific drug*

psychiatric profession, 335–36

psychoanalysis, 309–11, 331, 333–35

psychology, 4–5, 319–20

psychotherapy

as consciousness transformation, 139

effort vs. acceptance in, 143

Freudian, 310–11

LSD experimentation and, 322–28, 329–33

metaphysical dimension lacking in, 333–35

persecution in, 309–10

psychedelics and, 333

sex and, 226, 311

Skinner and, 328–30

Watts’s influence on, 335–37

Watts’s interest in, 310

See also Jung, Carl Gustav

public speaking, 95–96, 249, 295

Puritan Revolution, 45

Quakers, 76, 148, 182, 331

Quallo, Isabel Grenfell, 266

Quennell, Marjorie and C. H. B., 88

Rabelais, 191

racism, 165

Radhakrishnan (Hindu philosopher), 113

radio, 231

*Raja Yoga* (Vivekananda), 75, 78

Ramakrishna, 46, 227

Ramana, Maharshi, 46, 278

Ramdas, Swami, 260

Ram Dass, 332

See also Alpert, Richard

rascality, 210–11

reading, 83, 102

Reagan, Ronald, 244

regression, 309, 334–35

Reich, Wilhelm, 267, 335

reincarnation, 291

religion

as addiction, 159

as beyond good and evil, 61

Buddhism and, 60

celibacy in, 122

childhood prayers, 34–35

as disease, 45

double bind in, 315

Freudian view of, 166

humor and, 212–14

Jung and, 313

linearity of, 192

as means, 154, 156

mysticism separated from, 165–66

nonpartisanship in, 61

of nonreligion, 110

persecution in, 309–10

personal style in, 62

psychedelic drugs and, 294n1

ritual in, 151–52, 152n4

science and, 267, 355–56

too much, 60


- spirituality
- academic study of “alien cultures” and, 258–59
  - Church vs., 193–95
  - crises and awakening, 321–22
  - pacifism and, 264
  - psychedelic drugs and, 327
  - sexuality and, 225–28
- sports, 89–91
- Sri Krishna Prem, 74
- Stanford University, 109, 110, 252, 259
- Stanier, R. S., 95
- Steiner, Rudolph, 106–7
- Stinson Beach (CA), 290
- storytelling, [40](#), [41–42](#)
- suburbanization, 285–86
- Sufism, 185
- sumi-e* (black-ink painting), 257
- sumptuary laws, 326–27
- Sundays, [38](#)
- sunrise, in western sky, 131–32
- sunset, in eastern sky, 132
- sunya* (The Void), 63
- sushi*, 349–50
- Sussex, [25](#)
- Susskind, David, 336
- Utich, Anthony, 337
- Sutra of Perfect Awakening*, 135–36
- Suzuki, Daisetsu Teitaro, 80
  - as Asian Studies Academy guest lecturer, 260
  - at Buddhist Lodge, [75](#)
  - Buji-nin* signature of, 209
  - English language ability of, 81
  - Everetts’ Japan visit and, 120
  - on *jinko* incense, 354
  - on Shinto and Taoism, 349
  - unconscious in, 319
  - Watts’s study of, 108
  - at World Congress of Faiths, 113, 115–16
  - za-zen* and, 248n1
  - Zen and Japanese Culture*, 221
  - Zen as understood by, 113–15, 143, 251–52
- Suzuki, Shunryu, 253, 255, 337
- Swartley, William, 253, 256
- syncretism, 202, 203, 260
- Taber, Grieg, [55](#), 158–59, 160
- Tabula Smaragdina*, 153
- Tada, Tokwan, 233
- Tai-hsü, [75](#)
- Takuan (Zen priest), 252
- Talbot, Harold, 359–62
- Tallent, Dr., [13](#), [33](#), [39](#)
- Tallent, Jane, [13](#)
- Tamalpais, Mount, 240, 243
- T’an-ching*, 179, 254
- Tani, Yukio, 116
- Tao, the, 71, 143–44, 154, 257, 326
- Taoism
  - emptiness in, 348
  - Merton and, 360
  - popularization of, 248
  - Selver and, 289
  - semen loss superstition in, 228
  - Shinto and, 349
  - usefulness in, 128–29
  - Watts’s Christian conversion and, 152
  - Watts’s religious tastes and, [62](#)
  - Watts’s return to, 185
  - Zen and, 113, 115, 251–52, 256
- tarikî* (other-power), 143–44
- Tassajara Springs (CA), 255
- Taylor, Charles G., 139, 145, 158, 314
- tea ceremony, 257, 344, 350–51
- technology, 125, 128, 130
- Teichert, Adolph, 151, 156, 157–58, 175
- Teilhard de Chardin, Pierre, 284
- television, 231–32, 253, 292, 294, 336
- Temple, William, [58](#), [78–79](#)
- T-groups, 106
- Theologia Mystica* (Dionysius), 167, 167n2
- theology
  - mystical/ascetic, 167, 185
  - Russian, 166
  - writings in, unrelated to experience, 4–5
- Theosophical Society, 74, 111, 223
- Theosophy, 74, 79, 102, 225
- Thille, Lois, 252, 256
- Thirty Years War, [45](#)
- Thomas Aquinas, Saint, 167, 215, 361
- Thornecrest Farmhouse (Millbrook, NY)
  - correspondence written at, 193–206
  - house guests at, 214–19
  - Watts’s career interlude at, 207, 214–19
- “Those Old Zen Blues” (Broughton), 270–71
- Threefold State, [106–7](#)
- Tibetan Buddhism, 122, 353
- Tilly, Margaret, 320–21
- Time* magazine, 190
- Times Literary Supplement*, 253–54, 316
- tobacco, 50
- Tobase, Hodo, 238, 240, 255
- Tobey, Mark, 238
- Toynbee, Arnold, 254
- Traherne, Thomas, 283
- Transcendental Meditation, 294
- transubstantiationism, [72](#), 159
- Treves, Frederick, 247
- Trinity College (Oxford), 96–97, 101
- Tripitaka*, 74
- Trungpa, Chögyam, 304, 338

- Tyberg, Judith, 233, 234  
 typography, 219–20  
 tyranny, resistance to, 129  
 Tyson, Elizabeth, 139
- UCLA, 323  
 unconscious, the, 313, 319  
 Underhill, Evelyn, 180  
 Union Theological Seminary, 110, 138, 148  
 United States  
   artificial naturalness in, 208–9  
   barbarism in, 244–45  
   British personal style as viewed in, 207–8  
   Eastern religions in, 148, 292  
   heraldic style lacking in, [52](#)  
   homes in, [65](#)  
   intellectual life of, 317  
   irregularities not tolerated in, 242  
   loss of liberties in, 129  
   offices in, 164  
   Oriental scholarship in, 215n1  
   parish priests in, 174  
   politics in, [53](#), [262–63](#)  
   psychology in, 319–20  
   religious ritual lacking in, 151–52  
   saloons in, 94–95  
   sumptuary laws in, 326–27  
   university faculty in, 109, 208, 215n1
- Universal Mind, 71  
 universities, American  
   Asian studies overlooked in, 234  
   cultivated mediocrity at, 109  
   failure to satisfy spiritual needs, 258  
 University of California at Berkeley, 232, 253, 259  
 University of Chicago, 192  
 University of Southern California, 224  
*Upanishads*, 74, 140, 218, 226
- Vallejo*, S.S. (ferry), 239–40, 293  
 Van Doren, Paula, 221  
 Varda, Jean (“Yanko”), 110, 238, 239–40, 293, 300–304  
 Vedanta, 185, 203, 248  
 Vedanta Society, 168, 223, 225  
 Velikovskiy, Immanuel, 267  
 “vices,” abstinence from, 210–11  
 Vietnam War, 130, 165, 247  
 Virgin Birth, 156, 186  
 Vish (game), 141  
 visions, 146  
 Vivekananda, Swami, [75](#), 78  
 Vortex (audiovisual presentation), 296
- Wagner, Richard, [53](#), 91, 127  
*Walden Two* (Skinner), 328–29  
 Waldo Point (CA), 240
- Wallace, Edgar, 63  
 Walpole, Captain (swimming teacher), 87  
 Walska, Ganna, 157  
 Walthamstow Hall, 266  
 warfare  
   as planetary destruction, 19–20  
   religious, 71–72  
   technologies of, 128, 130
- Watkins, Geoffrey (“Nigel”), 97, 102–3, 117  
 Watkins, John M., 102
- Watts, Alan  
   alter ego of, 103  
   appearance of, 13–14  
   birth of, [9](#)  
   boarding school attended by, [26–27](#), [42](#)  
   as bohemian, 174  
   childhood of, [9](#)  
   children of, 132–33, 190  
   conversion to Christianity, 146–52  
   education of. *See* King’s School (Canterbury); Saint Hugh’s (Bickley, England); Watts, Alan, “private university” of  
   as egotist, 45–46  
   given name of, [45](#)  
   handwriting style of, 107  
   as human sunflower, 24–25  
   internal compass of, 25–26, [27](#), 110, 230  
   language abilities of, 81  
   laughter at himself, 213–14  
   lectures of, 225–28, 232, 263, 288, 294, 333–34  
   leisure activities of, 162, 214, 219–20, 344–45  
   love affairs of, 122–24, 280, 288–90, 317  
   LSD use of, 120  
   marriage of, 125–27, 189–90  
   as mystic, 211–12  
   as pacifist, 128–30  
   as pantheist, 170–71  
   personality of, 207–8, 209–11, 263–64, 343–44  
   political beliefs of, 262–63  
   radio/television broadcasts of, 231–32, 294  
   seminars of, 295, 297–300  
   as sensualist, [46](#)  
   sexual mores of, 187–89  
   as shaman, 37–38, 80, 173–74  
   sports/games as viewed by, 89–91  
   storytelling ability of, [40](#), [41–42](#)  
   weak spots of, 158  
   at World Congress of Faiths, 115–16  
   writing style of, 295
- Watts, Alan, as Episcopal priest  
   Christian interior life as viewed by, 180–83  
   clerical role concerns of, 186–87  
   liturgy created by, 175–76  
   marriage ceremonies, 189  
   at Northwestern University, 127, 175–80, 273

- ordination, 173, 180  
 people “collected” by, 177–80  
 priest-at-large request, 174–75  
 reasons for career choice, 173–74  
 residence of, 175, 176–77, 178, 189  
 resignation of, 190, 193–206  
 retreats/lectures of, 184–85, 190  
 return to Buddhist meditation, 183–85  
 sermons of, 176
- Watts, Alan, as freelance philosopher-teacher  
 advantages/disadvantages of, 262–64  
 friends/influences, 266–86, 287–89, 298–304  
 lectures/seminars of, 294–96, 297–98  
 psychedelic drug experimentation of, 120, 323–26,  
 327, 330  
 publications of, 289  
 radio/television broadcasts of, 294  
 Society for Comparative Philosophy, 304–5
- Watts, Alan L., 103, 106
- Watts, Alan, “private university” of  
 erotic feminine companionship and, 118, 121–24  
 father’s support for, [101–2](#)  
 Howe and, 108–9, 110–11  
 Humphreys (Toby) and, 107–8  
 Krishnamurti and, 111–12  
 Mitrinović and, 103–7, 116–17  
 Spiegelberg and, 109–10  
 Suzuki (D. T.) and, 113, 115–16  
 Watkins (Nigel) and, 102–3  
 World Congress of Faiths and, 112–13, 115–16,  
 117–18
- Watts, Alan, publications  
*Beat Zen, Square Zen, and Zen*, 291  
*Behold the Spirit*, 184–85, 220  
*Beyond Theology*, 186, 328  
 in Buddhist Lodge journal, 99  
*Easter—Its Story and Meaning*, 162  
*Erotic Spirituality*, 228  
*The Joyous Cosmology*, 289, 324–25, 326, 335  
*The Legacy of Asia and Western Man*, 117  
*The Meaning of Happiness*, 138, 139–41, 142  
*Myth and Ritual in Christianity*, 232  
*Nature, Man and Woman*, 228, 280, 280n1  
 “The Problem of Faith and Works in Buddhism,”  
 144  
*Psychotherapy East and West*, 289, 335  
*The Spirit of Zen*, 108  
*The Supreme Identity*, 189  
*This Is It*, 289, 321–22  
*The Two Hands of God*, 328  
*The Way of Zen*, 232, 280–81
- Watts, Ann (daughter), 190, 251
- Watts, Dorothy (second wife), 120, 190–91, 214, 216,  
 261–62, 267
- Watts, Eleanor (first wife), 132  
 as Buddhist, 124–25, 127  
 in Chicago, 162  
 children of, 132–33  
 depression experienced by, 144–45  
 Japan trip of, 120–21  
 marriage annulled by, 189–90  
 money worries of, 145  
 novel idea of, 179  
 as priest’s wife, 175, 176  
 Watts falls in love with, 121  
 Watts marries, 125–27  
 Watts meets, 118–19
- Watts, Emily Mary (mother)  
 appearance of, [8](#)  
 educational decisions of, [20](#), 40–43  
 ill health of, [40](#)  
 as master embroiderer, [65](#)  
 musical talent of, [23](#)  
 Oriental treasures of, [23](#), [65](#)  
 personality of, 8–9  
 religion of, 7–8, 34–35, [48](#), [52](#)  
 as teacher, [7](#), 266
- Watts, Joan (daughter), 132–33, 162, 190
- Watts, Laurence W. (father)  
 as amateur entomologist, [16](#), 17–18  
 attitude toward life, [33](#)  
 as Buddhist Lodge (London) treasurer, 101  
 dinner parties held by, [16](#)  
 economic hardships of, 91, 101  
 educational decisions of, [20](#), 40–43  
 garden of, 14–15, 20–23  
 job of, [37](#), [40](#)  
 musical talent of, [23](#)  
 ninetieth birthday celebration of, 11  
 personality of, [9](#), 101, 124  
 religion of, [52](#)  
 as teacher, [16](#), [19](#), 23–24  
 Watts’s “private university” supported by, 101–2  
 Watts’s travels with, 322
- Watts, Richard (son), 242
- Watts, Thomas Roberts (grandfather), 101  
 wayward spirit, 210–11  
 WBAI (New York, NY), 231
- Weaver, Richard, 338  
 weddings, 127
- Weeks, Richard, 98–99
- Weinger, Benjamin, 179
- Wenesland, Radar, 210
- West, James, 179
- Westminster Abbey, 47n1, [51](#), [52](#)
- Westminster Cathedral, 47n1
- White, Emil, 282
- White, Ernest, 150
- White Anglo-Saxon Protestants, 241, 244–45
- Whitehead, Alfred North, 313

- Whittelsey, John, 323
- Whitemore, Alan, 180–81, 186
- Whyte, Lancelot Law, 192, 313
- Wiener, Norbert, 320
- Wild Woodbines, 116–17, 123–24
- Wiles, Clare, 302–3
- Wilhelm, Richard, 313
- Williams, William Moses, 95–96
- Willow Creek (CA), 131
- Wisdom of the Heart, The* (Miller), 229
- wise-baby, 307, 309
- Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 249–50, 279
- Wolff, Kurt and Helen, 220–21
- women, 188
- Wood, Ernest, 262
- Woodhouse, George, 118, 124
- work, 164–65
- World Congress of Faiths (London; 1936), [75](#), 112–13, 115–16
- World Congress of Faiths (Oxford; 1937), 117–18
- World Cup (1970), 89
- World War I, [9](#), [19](#)
- World War II, [9](#), 97, 122, 127–30, 137–38, 247, 321
- Worm That Turned, The* (cartoon-film), [17](#)
- Worshipful Company of Stationers, [17](#)
- Wright, Frank Lloyd, 273
- wu-nien*, 184
- Yale Medical School, 294
- yezer hara* (wayward spirit), 210–11
- yin/yang*, 313
- yoga, [31](#)
- Buddhist, 80
  - hatha*, 119, 298
  - jñana*, 360–61
  - kundalini*, 228
  - liberation postponed by, 217
  - mantra, [62](#)
  - meditation, 235, 251, 364
  - self-realization as goal of, 139
  - television lessons, 253, 292
- Yogananda, 104–5
- Yoga Sutra*, 74
- yogis, 230, 236
- Young, Ella, 266–67
- Youngusband, Francis, 112–13, 247
- Yusuf Ali (Islamic theologian), 113
- za-zen*, 80, 115, 134, 248, [248n1](#)
- Zen and Japanese Culture* (Suzuki), 221
- Zen boom, 248
- Zen Buddhism, [75](#), 80
- avant-garde music and, 218–19, 248
  - big bang theory in, 357
  - “brains” and, 133–34
  - general overview of, [134n1](#), [339–40](#)
  - intellectual life vs., 363
  - Jodo Shinshu vs., 143–44
  - koan* in, 134, [134n1](#), 142, 143, 249
  - married priests in, 122
  - meditation in, 115, 225–26, 351
  - monasteries, 292
  - munen* in, 362–63
  - official masters in, [347n1](#)
  - popularity of, 113, 248
  - present moment in, 131–32
  - psychotherapy and, 315
  - Rinzai school, 133
  - self in, 340
  - sexuality in, 225
  - sleeping, 364
  - spirit-matter dualism in, 168
  - supernormal powers in, 141–42
  - Suzuki’s writings on, 108, 113–15
  - Taoism and, 113, 115, 251–52, 256
  - tea ceremony and, 350–51
  - Watts lectures on, 294
  - Watts’s study of, 248–51
  - for Westerners, 255, 292
  - Western fascination for, 339–40
  - wu-nien*, 184
- Zen Catholicism* (Graham), 360
- Zen Mountain Center (Tassajara Springs, CA), 255
- Zimmer, Heinrich, 216, 217
- zog-chen* meditation, 46–47, [62](#)
- Zürich (Switzerland), 317–18, 322





Philosophy • \$15.95 u.s.

New World Library  
[www.newworldlibrary.com](http://www.newworldlibrary.com)

Cover design by Mary Ann Casler • Printed in Canada

ISBN-10: 1-57731-584-7  
ISBN-13: 978-1-57731-584-1



9 781577 315841

5 1 5 9 5

Copyrighted material